

TABLE 1 Alder Hey post-implementation system strengths and weaknesses identified by the PUMA team

Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>Detect</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Senior nursing staff trained in advanced care techniques; all nursing staff trained in NICE sepsis screening</li> <li>• New ward-level initiatives designed to improve families' understanding of the ward and their child's families (e.g. by improving orientation to the ward after an episode of HDU/PICU care)</li> <li>• Families consider the care they/their child receives, and everyday communication with ward staff to be excellent</li> <li>• PTTT/MEDITECH-6 system has become normalised; work of using the system has become routine</li> <li>• PTTT/MEDITECH-6 system improved to incorporate sepsis-specific information</li> <li>• Information technology infrastructure/access to computers has improved; easier and quicker for nurses to input patient observations data</li> <li>• Cardiac-specific deterioration policy introduced. Policy formalises appropriate response to PTTT scores and key vital signs observations and provides detail on exact escalation pathway for cardiac patients</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Electronic PTTT: prevents quick overview of historical patient observations (patterns and trends)</li> <li>• Electronic PTTT: patient observations data recorded informally before being transferred to electronic system, at risk of being lost/corrupted</li> <li>• Built environment: layout disrupts previously routine/normalised practices; impedes regular informal communication, prevents quick/easy visual review of patients, reduces space available for patients/families to meet and interact</li> <li>• Staff busy/large workload: little 'extra' time to spend with patients/family, early signs of deterioration may be missed</li> <li>• No formalised method to enable families to communicate concerns</li> <li>• Routine vital signs monitoring work de-prioritised/delayed at times, to protect needs/privacy of patients and family</li> <li>• Alarms frequently ignored/silenced by staff</li> <li>• Senior staff nurse frequently pulled away from AM ward round; busy time, multiple competing concerns (queries from staff nurses, patient transfers, care of sickest children)</li> </ul>
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nursing staff possess high level of expertise and specialist clinical knowledge</li> <li>• Nursing staff possess high level of patient-specific knowledge/situational awareness</li> <li>• Nursing staff consistently use professional judgement alongside formalised observation/monitoring practices</li> <li>• Nurses adopt creative, adaptive approach to technological constraints/challenges: expert in utilising multiple, diverse technologies, calibrating and adapting to needs of complex environment</li> <li>• Staff engage in conversation with family about patient's condition and typical baseline; information is valued and prioritised</li> <li>• PTTT includes 'parental concern' in score; staff actively listen and respond to family concern</li> <li>• Staff are approachable, operate 'open-door' policy</li> <li>• Vital signs monitoring equipment consistently available and functioning</li> </ul>	
<b>Plan</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<p>New safety huddle: information on current ward status and clinical status of most unwell patients routinely and consistently communicated throughout nursing team</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some medical routines (e.g. ward round) consistently exclude nursing staff</li> <li>• Co-ordinator has patient case load; negative impact on workload</li> <li>• Built environment prevents easy location of/communication with co-ordinator</li> </ul>

continued

TABLE 1 Alder Hey post-implementation system strengths and weaknesses identified by the PUMA team (continued)

Strengths	Weaknesses
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear communication: staff communicate effectively across professional groups, with families/parents and with external wards/organisations</li> <li>• Consistent availability of medical expertise: cardiology team consistently/frequently present on the ward</li> <li>• Detailed senior staff nurse–senior staff nurse handover enables sharing of ward- and patient-level situational awareness among senior nursing team; helps enable effective nurse workforce planning</li> <li>• Nurse handover comprehensive/detailed, printed information easily shared</li> </ul>	
<b>Act</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cardiac-specific escalation policy clarifies roles and responsibilities; enables co-ordinator/nurse in charge to escalate directly to senior medical review</li> <li>• The SBAR tool bundled with escalation policy; structured style of communication reported to be routine</li> <li>• Increased band-6 nursing staff employed on the ward: 24/7 co-ordinator/nurse in charge cover</li> <li>• Improved interteam communication. Consistent senior staff nurse cover provides mechanism for expressing concerns/escalating across hierarchical boundaries</li> <li>• Out-of-hours working SOP designed to alleviate medial workload/increase availability during nights and weekends</li> </ul>	None identified
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interteam communication: everyday nurse–medic working relationships are good</li> <li>• Trust-level C40 PEWS policy clearly defines escalation and response procedures, as well as staff roles and responsibilities</li> </ul>	

TABLE 2 Arrowe Park post-implementation system strengths and weaknesses identified by the PUMA team

Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>Detect</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
Medical staff are now able to access patient observations remotely	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes in staff and increased use of agency staff means that new and junior staff are often unfamiliar with where to direct their concern</li> <li>• More work needed to look at patient’s trend on the electronic system. This impedes pattern recognition and can make it more difficult to exercise professional judgement</li> <li>• The ability to quickly refer to the front of the paper observation chart to confirm what vital signs need to be taken for a certain patient has been lost, which has meant that some more junior staff struggled to remember precisely what needed to be observed</li> <li>• Batching of patient vital signs is now common</li> <li>• Lack of computers an issue, particularly during busy periods such as ward round</li> </ul>

TABLE 2 Arrowe Park post-implementation system strengths and weaknesses identified by the PUMA team (continued)

Strengths	Weaknesses
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff confident to raise any concerns that they might have. There is usually a doctor present on the ward, which facilitates this</li> <li>• There is a strong culture of supporting newly qualified and junior staff</li> <li>• Continuity of care is ensured whenever possible</li> <li>• Families are still routinely involved in defining baselines and to assist with identifying any changes</li> <li>• All staff emphasise their family-centred approach to care</li> <li>• Nurses are easily visible on the ward and approachable</li> <li>• All staff encouraged to carry out a new set of observations at the start of every shift</li> <li>• Nursing staff confident to adjust observation frequency as needed</li> <li>• Monitoring equipment mostly available</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family concern not part of PTTT</li> <li>• Judgements about certain families made</li> <li>• Not all family concern is recognised and acted on</li> <li>• No formal guidance to family about how to escalate care</li> <li>• Instances of families escalating to the consultant when they felt their concerns were not listened to</li> <li>• Staff concern not an item on the PEWS</li> <li>• Doctors still not determining frequency of observations, despite this being a requirement</li> </ul>
<b>Plan</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Using the SBAR tool for nursing handover has made handover more efficient</li> <li>• Post-ward round meeting usually attended by a senior nurse</li> <li>• Introduction of the morning safety huddle ensures that all staff working on the ward have awareness of at-risk patients</li> <li>• Safety huddle has changed language on the ward – ‘watcher’ is now more routinely used</li> <li>• Doctors are now able to access patient notes remotely</li> <li>• Inclusion of all patients on medical handover sheet</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nurse in charge now less likely to attend doctors’ handover</li> <li>• Increased staffing pressures and reliance on external agency staff affect the ward when a patient is admitted to the HDU</li> </ul>
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Large effort to ensure continuity of care</li> <li>• Patient allocation after handover ensures awareness of all patients for nurses</li> <li>• Nurse in charge very proactive – frequent ‘check-ins’ with rest of the staff and strong awareness of activity on the ward</li> <li>• Regular telephone calls/conversations throughout the day between senior nurses and doctors</li> <li>• One lead consultant on each shift – continuity of care</li> <li>• Patients at risk placed closer to nursing station</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nurse in charge may be a band-5 nurse ‘acting up’, particularly on weekends and night shifts</li> <li>• Nurse in charge has a case load</li> <li>• Doctors’ handover takes place off the ward during the evening</li> <li>• Doctors can be difficult to locate at times when needed</li> </ul>
<b>Act</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<p>Clear and succinct handovers, facilitated by the SBAR tool</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ability to quickly refer to the escalation policy has been lost with the introduction of electronic recording equipment</li> <li>• Agency staff more likely to be unfamiliar with responsibilities around escalation</li> </ul>
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff trust own clinical judgments and feel confident to escalate when needed</li> <li>• Strong supportive environment on the ward</li> <li>• Generally good open communication across hierarchies</li> <li>• Whiteboard clearly shows where patients are located and allocated nurse</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Families not always made aware of how to escalate concerns</li> <li>• Some difficulty with escalating for non-general patients</li> <li>• Some tensions, especially during evenings when doctors are less available to review</li> </ul>

TABLE 3 Noah's Ark post-implementation system strengths and weaknesses identified by the PUMA team

Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>Detect</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improvements to availability of monitoring equipment</li> <li>• Embargo on use of external agency staff – use of own bank staff now routine</li> </ul>	
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Close relationship with families. Families encouraged to establish 'normal' baseline</li> <li>• Families supported to stay at all times</li> <li>• Families regarded as expert if patients had chronic conditions and were actively involved in monitoring activities</li> <li>• Family concern highlighted during handover</li> <li>• Families encouraged to come find staff if they have concerns</li> <li>• Continuity of nursing care</li> <li>• Nurses use clinical judgement to make holistic assessment of child's status</li> <li>• Minimum frequency of observations well known; confidence to tailor to needs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No reference sources on normal parameters</li> <li>• Not all nurses skilled in monitoring</li> <li>• Judgments made about families and their concerns, which can affect the support provided</li> <li>• Buzzers not always highlighted to families</li> <li>• No written information on family involvement and how to raise concerns</li> <li>• Difficult for families to find nurses on the ward because of layout</li> <li>• Non-compliance with observation policy when balancing other considerations</li> <li>• Ward layout makes access to equipment difficult</li> <li>• Patient folders not always available outside patient room so delays between monitoring and recording activity</li> </ul>
<b>Plan</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shared situational awareness of children at risk between nursing and medical staff as a result of the implementation of the 4Ss whiteboard</li> <li>• Children at risk now routinely identified at the start of the medical handover</li> <li>• Nursing handover divided into two sections to ensure optimum concentration on patients</li> <li>• Safety briefing information written on whiteboard in the nurses' staff room, as well as verbally relayed, which gives the opportunity to refer to as needed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ward-level situational awareness of all patients reduced as nursing handover split into two</li> </ul>
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regular face-to-face handovers for both nursing and medical teams</li> <li>• Nurses' safety briefing highlighting patients at risk</li> <li>• Nurses receive handover on all patients and have situational awareness of whole ward</li> <li>• Staff and family concerns highlighted during handover</li> <li>• Observations included in handover</li> <li>• Nursing handover takes place at patient room allowing visual assessment</li> <li>• Nurses usually allocated same patients to ensure continuity of care</li> <li>• Nurse in charge has good overview of ward</li> <li>• Highly experienced ward manager</li> <li>• Whiteboard clearly displays key information</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Challenges of working with remote paediatric and adult specialists</li> </ul>
<b>Act</b>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementation of formal escalation policy</li> <li>• Changes in threshold for acceptance at HDU and PICU meant that staff felt more confident in their concerns being escalated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communication more difficult when doctors not present on the ward</li> <li>• Challenges for junior nurses getting doctors to act on concerns, particularly at night and out of hours</li> <li>• Challenges in communicating with multiple specialist doctors</li> </ul>

TABLE 3 Noah's Ark post-implementation system strengths and weaknesses identified by the PUMA team (continued)

Strengths	Weaknesses
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision-making support within the nursing team</li> <li>Most staff confident to escalate when needed</li> <li>Senior staff highlight to junior staff when they have concerns, to make sure they look out for signs</li> <li>Mutual respect across professional/hierarchical boundaries</li> </ul>	

TABLE 4 Morriston post-implementation system strengths and weaknesses identified by the PUMA team

Strengths	Weaknesses
<i>Detect</i>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate equipment available and functioning</li> <li>Laminated copy of RCN observation and escalation guidelines included in every patient file</li> <li>Staff have easy access to normal/abnormal thresholds – staff use cards</li> <li>New colour-coded observation chart indicating normal vital signs thresholds</li> <li>Same observation chart used in medical and surgical wards and PAU</li> <li>Change in storage and management of patient information improved access to observation charts</li> <li>Staff understand roles and responsibilities in relation to detection</li> <li>Nurses encouraged to understand normal parameters for each child and to share concerns</li> <li>Nurses encourage parents to ask for help if child's status changed</li> <li>Nurse involves parents in defining normal physiological parameters for their child</li> <li>Doctors and nurses regularly seek parents' views on their child's status</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not clear if staff awareness of policy has improved</li> <li>Some doctors need to be reminded to return patient notes to treatment room</li> </ul>
<i>Unchanged</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fewer staff available at night and during weekends making it difficult to conduct observations</li> </ul>
<i>Plan</i>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5Ss (safeguarding, same name, bed status, sick children and staffing) covered at every handover</li> <li>At-risk children consistently designated a watcher status at board round</li> <li>Whiteboard regularly updated</li> <li>New acuity tool for nurse facilitates identification of 'watchers'</li> </ul>	
<i>Unchanged</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nurse in charge often has full patient load</li> </ul>

continued

TABLE 4 Morrision post-implementation system strengths and weaknesses identified by the PUMA team (*continued*)

Strengths	Weaknesses
<i>Act</i>	
<i>Changed</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patients moved to HDU more quickly when risk of deterioration is present</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little evidence of increased awareness of escalation policy</li> </ul>
<i>Unchanged</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nurses confident to seek senior medical review if required</li> <li>• Strong informal support between senior and junior nurses</li> </ul>	