Supplementary Materials 2: Non-prioritised studies eligible for inclusion in the quantitative review.

The 66 non-RCTs from outside of the UK are summarised in Table 1. ¹⁻⁶⁵ The most common of which included: 16 studies from the USA; ^{3, 5, 8, 16, 21, 23, 25, 26, 36, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 60, 65} eight studies from Denmark; ^{9-12, 35, 41-43} six studies each from Taiwan^{1, 2, 30, 44-46} and the Netherlands; ^{4, 28, 37-39, 66} five studies from Italy; ^{24, 29, 51, 64, 67} four studies each from Canada, ^{19, 47, 59, 68} and Germany; ^{18, 22, 40, 50} three studies each from New Zealand, ^{20, 32, 34} and Sweden^{27, 49, 62} and one from Austria, ⁷ Finland, ⁶¹ Greece, ⁵⁶ Korea, ¹³ and Norway. ¹⁷ One study was conducted across Germany and the Netherlands. ³³ One study was an observational study, ²⁷ two were cohort studies, ^{33, 48} six studies were controlled before-and-after trials; ^{3, 7, 13, 21, 47, 64} eighteen were controlled before-and-after trials (CBA), ^{10-12, 14, 16, 18, 22, 24, 26, 32, 44, 45, 50, 52, 58, 67} and the remaining thirty nine were uncontrolled before-and-after trials (UBA). Chen, 2011 #104} ^{2, 4, 8, 17, 19, 20, 23, 25, 28-31, 34-43, 46, 49, 51, 53-57, 59-63, 65, 68, 69}

The most common reasons for admission, according to the broad procedural categories, were lower-limb arthroplasty (n=31), colorectal surgery (12), cardiac surgery (n=7) and thoracic surgery (n=4). The most frequently assigned category of intervention being evaluated was ERP (n=51). Other intervention categories included: patient centred care n=2,^{5,52} preoperative assessment with care plan (PACP) n=2,^{6,35} rehabilitation n=2,^{48,67} staff intervention,⁷ changing planned LOS,⁹ specialist ward,²⁶ health educational intervention,³⁰ incentive based,³² case manager,⁴⁵ care planning,⁴⁹ prehabilitation⁶³ and multi-disciplinary working working.⁶⁵ The most commonly measured outcome across all the time points was physical function, followed by pain, quality of life and satisfaction. Most studies collected their outcomes in hospital and within 30 days post discharge. Most studies collected just one outcome at one time point. One study collected eight outcomes over two time points.⁶⁸ Only two studies collected outcomes across all 4 time points,^{51,57} and only one study collected outcomes from both patient and carers.⁵⁸

Table 2 summarises studies eligible for inclusion from the updated searches that were run in June 2022. Though two were from the UK, we decided not to prioritise these at this late stage as, being non-RCTs, they would have not been included in either the meta-analysis or QCA.

Table 1. Non-RCTs and studies conducted outside of the UK which were not prioritised for inclusion in the in the quantitative synthesis.

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,	g ut	Outc	comes and Time points			
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD	
				Abdominal Surg	ery							
Chen 2011, ¹ Taiwan, UBA	Hospital Elder Life Programme	ERP	Early mobilisation, oral and nutritional assistance, orienting communication	Early mobilization, nutritional assistance, and therapeutic (cognitive) activities implemented by a trained nurse	Abdominal surgery	179, 73.0 (5.7), 45%	Urban medical centre	PF, MH, CF				
Chen 2014, ² Taiwan, UBA	Hospital Elder Life Programme	ERP	Early mobilisation, oral and nutritional assistance, orienting communication	Usual Care: Standard hospital care provided by physicians and nurses. Referral to dietician/PT as required	Major elective abdominal surgery	189, 73.1 (5.9), 43%	Urban medical centre	FT	FT			
				Cardiac Surge	ry							
Burns 2019, ³ USA, CBA	Minimalist program	ERP	Transfemoral TAVR using conscious sedation anaesthesia (CS) and resource optimisation	Usual Care: TAVR under general anaesthesia	TAVR	214, 80.8 (7.5), 38%	Hospital		QoL			

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
El Baz 2009, ³ Netherlands, UBA	Clinical pathway	ERP	Clinical pathway from admission until discharge, education	Control: no structural educational sessions and no controlling for LOS	CABG	198, 64.89 (9.95), 22%	University Hospital		GH, MH, P, PF, QoL, SF, VT		
Emanminia 2012, ⁵ USA, CT	Universal Bed model	Patient centred care	Care delivery system that maintains patients in the same room from immediately post operation to discharge. Adapts equipment, staff, and other resources to a patient's level of acuity	Traditional model of admission	Cardiac surgery	610, 69.7 35%	Suburban Hospital		Sat		
Lee 2014, ⁶ Australia, CT	Pre-operative assessment	PACP	Medication review with endocrinologist to maximise glycaemic control, assessment with diabetic clinical nurse specialist, preoperative education session with dietician	Usual care	Cardiac surgery	24, 63.8 (9.3), 29%	Hospital		Sat, MH		
Ospasich 2010, ⁶⁷ Italy, CT	Physiotherapy programme	Rehab	Early, post-surgery, in- hospital, elderly-centred rehabilitation programme	Usual care	Cardiac surgery	224, 74.7 (3.6), 51%	Cardiologi cal rehab unit	GH			

Country, Study	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	Time points			
	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD		
	Patient centred communication	Staff intervention	Training program for developing communication skills of health professionals	Control: pre implementation	Cardiac surgery	148, 64.9 (11.4), 34%	Hospital	GH, Sat					
Williams 2019, ⁸ USA, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Pre-op education, prehabilitation, smoking and alcohol cessation, nutrition optimization, pre-op fasting and carbo treatment, pre-op multimodal analgesia, anxiolytic meds, intra-op opioid and multimodal analgesia use, post-op sedation, early extubation, pulmonary function optimization, post-op multimodal analgesia, PONV prophylaxis, bowel motility, glycaemic control, early nutrition, early ambulation, line/drain removal, priority discharge	Pre-ERAS Colon Surger	Cardiac surgery	932, 65, 31%	Hospital	Sat					

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	comes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
Andersen 2007, ⁹ Denmark, UBA	Planned hospital stay of 2 vs 3 days	Changing planned LOS	Planned length of stay increased to 3 days	Hospital stay 3 days	Colonic resections	541, 64.1, NR	University Hospital	Sat			
Basse 2002, ¹⁰ Denmark, CT	Multimodal rehabilitation	ERP	epidural analgesia, early oral feeding, mobilization and laxative use	Conventional care	colonic resections	28, Median age Intervention: 74 (Range 33-94), Comparator: 64 (Range 52-79), NR	University Hospital	Fat, MF, N, P	P		
Hjort Jakobsen 2004, ¹¹ Denmark, CT	Fast Track Rehabilitation Programme	ERP	Information, early mobilisation and nutrition, catheter removal, discharge planning	Conventional care: no defined nursing care program, fluids or food after, physicians' orders, bladder catheter > 2 days, epidural catheter > 4 days, discharge 8–10 days postoperatively	Colonic resection	60, 72 (NR), 45%	University Hospital		Fat, PF, SU		
Jakobsen 2006, ¹² Denmark, CT	Fast track rehabilitation	ERP	Information, early mobilisation and nutrition, catheter removal, discharge planning	Conventional care: no well-defined criteria for use of nasogastric tubes, mobilisation and oral fluid and nutrition or planned hospital stay	Colon surgery	160, 68.5, 51%	University Hospital		Fat, PF, SU		

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	intervention Description		Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
Kim 2017, ¹³ Korea, CBA	ERAS with a care protocol	ERP	Information provision by nurses, emotional support, exercise and education to encourage rapid recovery and promote psychological stability.	Traditional care programme	Colonic resection	219, 65.4 (10.9) 43%	University Hospital	P			
Lee 2015, 14 Canada, CT	Enhanced Recovery Pathway	ERP	Counselling, education, pre-op physical exercises, carbohydrate loading, no pre op sedation, fluid management, early mobilisation, catheter removal, analgesia	Conventional care: medical optimization, no formal education or preoperative exercise instructions, no bowel prep or sedation protocols, no structured mobilisation, thoracic epidural analgesia or PCA. Use of opioids	Colorectal resection	190, 62.8 (13.3), 48%	University Hospital		QoL, GH, SU		
Li 2013, ⁶⁸ Canada, UBA	Trimodal prehabilitation program	ERP	Exercise, nutritional counselling, protein supplementation and anxiety reduction	Pre-implementation of prehabilitation program	Colorectal surgery	87, 66.9 (11.5), 41%	University health centre	GH, MH, P, PF, PA, QoL, SF, VT,	GH, MH, P, PF, PA, QoL, SF, VT,		

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	1 Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
Melbert 2002, ¹⁶ USA, CT	Critical Pathway	ERP	Guidelines for staff to follow when patients admitted to hospital. How and when to give patient education, forms to complete, hospital systems to put in place	No critical care pathway	Abdominal colon or rectal surgery	385, 67 (19-99) ,54%	General Hospital		Sat		
Mohn 2009, ¹⁷ Norway, UBA	Enhanced Recovery After Surgery	ERP	Information, discharge planning with local healthcare system, normal diet and nutritional supplement, fluid management, preoperative enema, antibiotic prophylaxis, no preanaesthetic medication, I.V. fluid management, early mobilisation, fluid, nutrition and pain management, physical activity questionnaire and clinical follow up	Traditional recovery	Colorectal surgery	247, Median age Intervention: 66(Range 19-90), Comparator; 71(Range 15-90),	University Hospital	Fat, N, P, PA	Fat, N, P, PA		

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
Raue 2004, ¹⁸ Germany, CT	Fast-track multimodal rehabilitation programme	ERP	Epidural analgesia, early oral feeding, enforced mobilisation. 3-day pathway	Standard-care: thoracic combined epidural, feeding POD2, use of opioids, mobilisation in bed POD1.	Laparoscopic sigmoidectomy	52, Median age Intervention: 63(range 32- 76), Comparator: 65(38-86), 48%	University Hospital	Fat, P			
Thanh 2016, ¹⁹ Canada, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Perioperative recovery programme	Pre ERAS	Colorectal surgery	1626, 61.2 (14.6), 45%	6 x Hospitals		SU		
Zargar-Shoshtari 2008, ²⁰ New Zealand, UBA	Fast-Track Surgery	ERP	Information, social issues assessed, preoperative ward visit, carbohydrate loading, admitted on morning of surgery, no bowel preparation, limited intraoperative I.V. fluids, prophylactic nasogastric tubes not used, early mobilisation, catheter removal and nutrition, epidural infusion stopped POD2, opioid analgesic only used for breakthrough pain, discharge information, contacted by nursing staff 3/4 days post	Pre-Fast-Track: conventional, non- structured perioperative care. Discharge at discretion of senior team members. No specified discharge criteria	Colonic surgery	100, Median age Intervention: 65.6(Range 39-93), Comparator: 70.7(Range 40-85), 37%	Surgical Centre	Fat	Fat	Fat	

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad Intervention Category	Brief description or key features of	Comparator Name	Procedure	Sample: Total sample size,	g _w	Oute	omes and	Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Category	intervention	and Brier Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	In hospital PD up to 30 to days m	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD		
			discharge. Outpatient clinic follow up within one week								
				Head and neck su	gery			•	•	•	

(First Author, Date, Intervention Country, Study Name design) Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	nme Procedure	Mean age (SD),	G 44*				
				Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
CBA med spee dieti carb mult prop use, mult use, there anti-norm main warn op s anal antil urin reme amb dieti neck whe ml/d lang oral whe adec	e-op education, edical optimization, eech language and etician input, pre-op rbo treatment, pre-op eltimodal analgesia, ophylactic antibiotic e, intra-op opioid and eltimodal analgesia e, goal directed fluid erapy, prophylactic ti-emetics, ermothermia entianed with fluid ermers and forced are erming devices, post- sedation, multimodal ealgesia, post-op tibiotic to POD3, enary catheter moval POD1, estician input post-op, ek drain removal en output <30 //day, speech and enguage input prior to al intake, discharge en afebrile and equate nutrition and in control	Pre-ERAS	Major oral cavity resection, oropharynx resection, laryngectomy or pharyngectomy	185, 64.1 (11.4), 29%	University Medical centre	P			

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total		Outo	comes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
Brodner 2001, ²² Germany, CT	Multimodal perioperative management	ERP	Only post-op care differs between two groups: thoracic epidural analgesia, early mobilisation, oral nutrition	Comparator 1 and 2: Routine postoperative care, IV nutrition and mobilisation from POD1	Radical cystectomy	45, 62 (9.0), Comparator 1: 59 (13.5), Comparator 2: 63 (8.8), 13%	University Hospital	P, Fat			
Turini 2017, ²³ USA, UBA	Robotic assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy	ERP	Pre-op education, intraoperative opium suppository, pelvic floor rehabilitation, social work support, early feeding, early mobilisation, post-op IV non-narcotic pain control, facilitated discharge, patient and family education, post discharge phone call	Pre-implementation	Radical prostatectomy	105, 61.0, NA	University Hospital			Qol, Sat	QoL, Sat
				Lower Limb Arthr	oplastv						
Arienti 2020, ²⁴ Italy, CT	Fast-track rehabilitation protocol	ERP	Fast track surgery (subvastus approach with patella-in-balance), patient focused care, early mobilisation, standardised postoperative milestones	Conventional rehabilitation protocol – standard TKA followed by conventional rehabilitation program	Total knee arthroplasty	43, 69, 77%	Rehabilita tion Hospital	PF, P			
Auyong 2015, ²⁵ USA, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Perioperative recovery programme: education, identified care companion, short acting	Standardized ERAS pathway including femoral nerve block:	Total knee arthroplasty	252, 67.2 (10.0), 66%	Hospital/ medical centre	P	SU		

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	omes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			spinal or general anaesthesia, standardised I.V. fluids, 48h adductor canal block, early mobilisation, standardised analgesics	optional education, no specific care companion, no antiemetics, long-acting spinal or general anaesthetic, no standardized steroids, no standardized I.V. fluids, Intermittent femoral nerve block, no standardisation of analgesics, mobilisation POD1							
Batsis 2008, ²⁶ USA, CT	Specialty Orthopaedic Surgery Units	Specialist Ward	General care nursing unit where patients receive all their postoperative care. Multidisciplinary staff with orthopaedic expertise	Admitted to non- orthopaedic nursing units	Total knee arthroplasty	5534, 68.3 (10.8), 57%	Hospital		SU		
Berg 2020, ²⁷ Sweden, Observational	Fast track care program	ERP	Admission on the day of surgery; mobilization within 3–6 hours after operation; and functional discharge criteria in practice	Non-fast track (or unknown)	Joint replacement	59562, 68.3 (9.9), 57%	Hospitals				P, Sat, QoL
Brunenberg 2005, ²⁸ Netherlands, UBA	Joint recovery program	ERP	Pre-assessment screening approximately 6 weeks before operation	Usual care	Joint replacement	160, 64.4 (11.8), 70%	University Hospital			PF, QoL	PF QoL

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			including anamnesis and blood samples, physical examination, and x-rays. Also, home situation and post discharge care needs were analysed. Patient education took place 1 to 2 weeks preoperatively. Group based rehabilitation after operation and supervision by nurses and physiotherapists for duration of admission								
Castorina 2017, ²⁹ Italy, UBA	Fast track protocol	ERP	Surgery – medial incision, tourniquet only during cementation, no pre-surgery medication, use of IV tranexamic acid, accurate intraoperative homeostasis, no articular drainage, use of colloid patch, 5 hours 90 post-surgery, continuous elastomeric pump infusion of bethamethasone, ondansetron, ketorolac, and morphine. Rehabilitation – usual	Traditional surgical method – one regular articular drainage and tranexamic acid intravenous injection used at the beginning of surgery and during cementation. Standard rehabilitation – 2 sessions/day for 30 mins for first 3 days post-surgery	Total knee arthroplasty	132, 72.0 (7.4), NR	Traumatol ogy and rehabilitat ion unit	PF, P	PF, P	PF, P	

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Intervention		Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			rehabilitation program for first 3 days post-op plus 3 weeks of 1 session/day for 1 hour, followed by 20 mins cryotherapy								
Chen, 2014, 30 Taiwan, UBA	Health educational intervention	Health educational intervention	Cognitive behavioural health intervention and education pamphlet and CD	Routine care	Total knee replacement	92, 69.3 (9.0), 69%	Teaching hospital	P, PF			
Christelis 2015, ³¹ Australia, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Counselling, preadmission review, minimal fasting, carbohydrate loading, no premedication, pre- emptive analgesia, spinal anaesthesia, minimal intravenous morphine intraoperatively, I.V. fluid restriction, antiemetic's, multimodal oral analgesia, carbohydrate supplementation, early mobilisation	Existing practice	Hip or knee arthroplasty	709, 67.6 (10.6), 61%	3 x hospitals	P		P, PF, Sat	
Cullen 2012, ³² New Zealand, CT	Incentive based	Incentive based	Surgery at a new site with a clinically-led care plan, with staff who are incentive based. The participating surgeons and	NR	Hip and knee replacement	335, 65.2 (range 25-92), 53%	Hospital		SU		

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Name Intervention		Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	omes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)		Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	1 Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			anaesthetists were responsible for increasing surgical throughput. No junior staff.								
Fussenich, 2020, ³³ Germany & Netherlands, Cohort	Fast-track surgery (Netherlands)	ERP	Preclinical phase - preoperative screening, clinical admission on the day of surgery - preoperative preparations started, Instructions by ergotherapist, discharge POD4 with physiotherapy and pain relief	Conventional care (Germany)	Total hip arthroplasty	360, 67.7 (9.0), 59%	3 x hospitals				P, PF, QoL, Sat
Gwynne-Jones 2017, ³⁴ New Zealand, UBA	Enhanced Recovery After Surgery	ERP	Early identification/treatment of preoperative anaemia, preoperative health questionnaires to patients/GPs, weekly preoperative education class, written information, streamlined preadmission process, day of surgery admission, standardized anaesthetic and analgesia guidelines, intraoperative local	Historical cohort pre-ERAS pathway	Hip or knee replacement	632, 67.6 (11.8), NK	Hospital			PF	

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Intervention	_	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	omes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			anaesthetic infiltration, perioperative blood management algorithm, day of surgery mobilisation, nurse and physiotherapy led discharge criteria								
Hansen 2012, ³⁵ Denmark, UBA	Preoperative screening (as part of fast- track programme)	PACP	Preoperative screening (which took place as part of 'motivational conversation' with a nurse) identified any risk factors, which were addressed by an appropriate intervention ranging from providing information to referral to dietician	Control group: no formal preoperative screening, no intervention during period between decision to operate and surgery	Hip and knee arthroplasty	132, 68.4 (10.2), 49%	Hospital			PF, QoL	
Healy 2002, ³⁶ USA, UBA	Clinical pathway and knee standardisation program	ERP	Multidisciplinary teambased approach. Pathway begins when decision made to operate, continues throughout acute-care and includes rehabilitation and physical therapy. Standardisation program aims to reduce variation in implant selection and cost for hospital and uses a	No clinical pathway or knee-implant standardisation program	Total knee arthroplasty	159, 69.9 (range 45-91), NK	Clinic medical centre				P,PF Sat

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad Intervention		Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	1 Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			patient-type scoring system to evaluate expected demand that patients will place on their knee implants after surgery.								
Hoorntje 2017, ³⁷ Netherlands, UBA	Outpatient surgery pathway	ERP	Individual education, presence of personal coach (relative), morning surgery, IV antibiotics up to 8h postoperatively, opioid sparing multimodal pain control, compression bandage removed 24h postoperatively, physiotherapy 2,4,6h postoperatively and at home on day 1, phone call 2 and 7 days from nurse	Standard fast track surgery	Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty	36, 63 (6.5), 53%	Hospital	QoL, MH, PF	QoL, MH, PF	QoL, MH, PF	
Jansen 2020, ³⁸ Netherlands, UBA	Fast track pathway	ERP	Opiate-sparing multimodal pain protocol of: paracetamol; non- steroidal anti- inflammatory; 'escape'opiate,only if necessary; local infiltration analgesia; dexamethasone; anti- emetics; and low-dose	Non-fast-track pathway	Total knee arthroplasty	686, 67.8 (9.0), 29.3%	Hospital				PF, QoL, P

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Nome Intervention	ention key features of	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	Time po	ints
Country, Study design)		Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			spinal anesthesia enabling patients to mobilize out of bed on the day of surgery within four hours after the operation. No wound drains, urinary catheters or patient- controlled analgesia pumps were used during admission, and all patients had standard wound dressings and knee pressure bandages applied postoperatively. Pre-operative information folder and a pre-operative scheduled meeting with an orthopaedic clinic nurse to discuss all important rehabilitation and discharge details with the patient and their family beforehand.								
Kort 2017, ³⁹ Netherlands, UBA	Outpatient surgery pathway	ERP	Admitted day of surgery, perioperative use of dexamethasone, and tranexamic acid, prophylactic use of IV and oral antibiotics, first mobilisation <4h	Conventional enhanced recovery pathway	Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty	40, 60.9 (5.4), 60%	Hospital	P		PF, QoL	

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad Intervention	-	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	comes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	1 Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
2011, ⁴⁰ Germany, y C			postoperatively, compression bandage use for 8h postoperatively, use of elastic bandage 4 days postoperatively								
2011, ⁴⁰ Germany, UBA	Interdisciplinar y Clinical Pathway	ERP	Patients invited to information session with surgeon 1 month before surgery. Presurgery education with physiotherapist about post-operative care. Hospitalisation day of surgery unless patient lives far away in which case hospitalisation day before surgery. Same team used throughout day for all aspects of operation. Post-surgical rehabilitation in patient room	Pre-pathway	Total knee arthroplasty	260, 68.7 (range 43-88), 59%	University Hospital			PF	
Larsen 2008, 41 Denmark, UBA	Accelerated perioperative care and rehabilitation	ERP	Perioperative recovery programme: education, hospitalization day of surgery, case management, ward integration, early mobilisation, nutrition, fluid management	Standard care: no information day, hospitalised day before surgery, different nurses in charge, rehab by physiotherapists, mobilisation on POD1	Hip Arthroplasty	105, 66 (9.7), 48%	Regional hospital			QoL	

Study (First Anthon Pote	Total	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total		Outo	omes and	l Time po	ints
(First Author, Date, Country, Study design)	Intervention Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	sample size, Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
Larsen 2010, ⁴² Denmark, UBA	Fast track intervention	ERP	Preoperative optimization regimen, surgery at the beginning of the week, posterior incision, intraoperative local infiltration analgesia, no drains, standardised blood transfusion, preoperative and postoperative antibiotic use, postoperative care in nurse-led fast-track care unit, patients wore own clothes, daily goals, early mobilisation, daily therapy with physiotherapy and occupational therapist	Results compared to normative population data	Hip Arthroplasty	196, 70 (8.3), 45%	Hospital			QoL, PF	QoL, PF
Larsen 2012, ⁴³ Denmark, UBA	Fast track intervention	ERP	Preoperative optimization regimen, postoperative care in nurse-led fast-track care unit, early mobilisation, multimodal pain relief, daily physiotherapy, exercise plan on discharge	Results compared to normative population data	Knee arthroplasty	211, 67 (10.3), 51%	Hospital			QoL, PF	QoL, PF
Liang 2021, ⁴⁴ Taiwan, CT	Modified Hospital Elder Life Program	ERP	Four protocols: orientation communication, early	Usual care	Total knee arthroplasty	140, 71.2 (5.2), 78.6%	General Hospital		MH, PF	MH, PF	MH, PF

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Broad	Brief description or	Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	omes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than mont hs PI
	(mHELP)		mobilization, providing vision and hearing impairment equipment, and early intervention for volume depletion to prevent dehydration								
Lin 2002, ⁴⁶ Taiwan, UBA	Clinical pathway	ERP	Perioperative clinical pathway including nursing assessment, pain management, nutrition, activity, education, and discharge planning	Pre-clinical pathway	Total knee arthroplasty	114, 68.9 (6.2), NK	University Hospital				PF
Lin 2011, ⁷⁰ Taiwan, CT	Care Mapping	Case Manager	Continuous patient care including during enrolment, hospitalisation period and follow up service post-discharge. Cared for by primary nurse using a case map. Responsibilities of case managers included: education, coordination, service monitoring and follow up	Control group: cared for using a clinical pathway with no case managers	Total knee replacement	83, 72.73 (8.42), 63%	University Hospital	PF	PF, Sat		
Marsh 2019, ⁴⁷ Canada,CBA	Early discharge patient care pathway	ERP	Patient and caregiver education around anaesthesia and enhanced discharge program and projected care pathway, spinal	Standard care	Total knee arthroplasty	50, 64.5 (4.3), 48%	Hospital				PF, MH QoL

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention Name Broad Intervention Category		Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	omes and	l Time po	ints	
Country, Study design)		Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	1 Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			anaesthesia, and periarticular multimodal injection, nerve block, home with intraarticular catheter and continuous ropivacaine infusion pain-pump, catheter removed 72 hours postoperatively								
Naylor 2018, ⁴⁸ Australia, propensity score matched cohort study	Inpatient rehabilitation pathway	Rehabilitatio n	Inpatient rehabilitation	No inpatient rehabilitation pathway	Total hip arthroplasty	246, 67 (10), 66%	12 private hospitals			QoL, PF	QoL, PF
Olsson 2016, ⁴⁹ Sweden, UBA	Person-centred care	Care planning	Develop patient- clinician 'partnership' to produce individualised care plan	Conventional care: assessment, information	Total hip arthroplasty	266, 67 (13), 66%	1 x County hospital, 1 x university hospital	PF			
Renkawitz 2010, ⁵⁰ Germany, CT	Accelerated Clinical Pathway	ERP	Patient-controlled regional analgesia pumps, ultra- early/doubled physiotherapy and motor-driven continuous passive motion machine units	Standard accelerated clinical pathway	Total knee replacement	143, 68.0 (10.1), 74%	University medical centre	P, PF			
Romano 2021, ⁵¹ Italy, UBA	Fast-track protocol	ERP	Patient education and counselling, assessment and optimisation, minimal preoperative	Standard care	Joint replacement (hip and knee)	181, 71 (range 64-77), 48%	Hospital	P	Р	P	P

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Intervention		Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outc	omes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			fasting, pre-emptive oral analgesia, standard anaesthetic protocol and multimodal analgesia, minimally invasive surgery, intraoperative and interarticular tranexamic acid, reduced torniquet use, accurate haemostasis, no drains or catheters, restricted fluid balance, active patient warming blanket, postoperative multimodal analgesia and nausea and vomiting prophylaxis, cryocompression, negative wound therapy management, early oral intake, early mobilisation								
Stone 2008, ⁵² USA, CT	The Planetree patient-centred model of care	Patient centred care	Provides framework and implementation guidance on 10 areas: human interaction, architecture and interior design, food and nutrition, patient and family education, family involvement, spirituality, human	Standard care	Joint replacement	869, 66 (range 33-88) 57%	2 x Communit y hospitals	Sat			

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention	Intervention		Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	comes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)	Name	Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			touch, healing arts, complementary/alternati ve therapy, healthy communities								
Tan 2018, ⁵³ Australia, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Multidisciplinary preoperative patient information, reduction in preoperative and postoperative fasting, increase in spinal anaesthesia, intraoperative antiemetic prophylaxis, tranexamic acid, intraoperative warming, oral multimodal analgesia, cessation of IV fluids POD1, early mobilisation, predefined discharge criteria	Pre-implementation	Hip replacement	230, 64.3 (10.4), 67%	Private hospital	PF, P		PF, P	
Ziegler 2019, ⁵⁴ USA, UBA	Same day discharge program (SDD)	ERP	Early surgery, short- acting spinal and multimodal pain management regimen, early mobilisation, predefine discharge criteria involving independent and safe ambulation and activities of daily living, involvement of coach (relative)	Standard care	Total hip arthroplasty	132, 65.3 (9.6), 62%	Hospital		P, PF, QoL, Sat		

Study (First Author, Date,		Broad Intervention		Comparator Name		Sample: Total sample size,		Outo	comes and	l Time po	ints
Country, Study design)		Intervention Category	key features of intervention	and Brief Description	¹ Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
				Spinal Surger	·v						•
Ifrach 2020, ⁵⁵ USA, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Patient education and optimisation, early discharge planning, metabolism management, multimodal analgesia, surgery checklist, early mobilisation, wound care management	Pre- ERAS	Spinal and peripheral nerve surgery	564, 73.2 (5.6), 48%	Hospital	P, PF, SU			
				Thoracic surge							
Khoury 2021, ⁵⁶ Greece, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Preoperative nutritional screening and carbohydrate loading, smoking cessation education, preoperative medication, clear fluids up to 2 hours before surgery, multimodal intraoperative analgesia, thoracic epidural, minimally invasive surgery, fluid management, antibiotics prophylaxis, mechanical ventilation management, antiemetic medication, postoperative multimodal analgesia, early mobilisation, early	Pre-ERAS	Pulmonary resections	234, 62.5 (range 54-70), 63%	Hospital	P, Sat			

Study (First Author, Date, Country, Study design)	Intervention Name	Broad Intervention Category	Brief description or key features of intervention	Comparator Name and Brief Description	Procedure	Sample: Total sample size,		Outcomes and Time points				
						Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD	
			tube, and catheter removal									
Nelson 2019, ⁵⁷ USA, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Preoperative education, preanesthetic analgesia, perioperative steroids, IV anaesthesia, intraoperative multimodal analgesia, goal directed fluid replacement, longacting nerve block, postoperative multimodal analgesia, early oral intake	Pre-ERAS and transition	Pulmonary resection	471, 66 (range 58-75), 48%	Hospital	PF	PF	PF	PF	
Sun 2017, ⁵⁸ USA, CT	Multimedia self- management intervention	ERP	4 sessions of multimodal multimedia model of care specifically for lung cancer patients. Covers symptom management, self-care, and postoperative recovery management. Includes videos, written handbook, and telephone support once home	Usual care	Lung surgery	Patient 38, 65.6 (12.8), 47%; Family caregivers 22, 60 (14.5), 82%			PF, QoL (patie nt and family caregi ver)			
Tahiri 2020, ⁵⁹ Canada, UBA	ERAS	ERP	Intercostal block with patient-controlled analgesia, early removal of arterial line, early feeding, incentive	Control group	Lung surgery	196, 65.7 (9.4), 67%			QoL	QoL		

Study (First Author, Date, Country, Study design)	Intervention	Broad Intervention Category	Brief description or key features of intervention	Comparator Name and Brief Description	Procedure	Sample: Total sample size,		Outcomes and Time points			
	Name				Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD
			spirometry every hour, early mobilisation, early chest x-ray, postoperative pain control with oral opioids removal of drain postoperative day 2								
				Upper abdomi	nal						
Nussbaum 2015, ⁶⁰ USA, UBA	Standardized care plan	ERP	Selective placement of feeding jejunostomy tubes, epidural catheter, preoperative antibiotics, nasogastric tube (NGT) use, foley catheter, arterial pressure line, central venous catheter, stress ulcer prophylaxis, physical therapy and nutrition therapy assessment, early sips of water and ice, early mobilisation, fluid management	Pre-implementation	Pancreatico- duodenectomy	242, 63.5 (10.9), 56%	Hospital		SU		
Savikko 2015, ⁶¹ Finland, UBA	Enhanced Recovery Protocol	ERP	Opioid-sparing pain treatment, early mobilisation and oral feeding, restricted use of abdominal drains and catheters	Pre-ERP protocol	Open and laparoscopic liver surgery	234, 63.4 (range 18-86)	University Hospital		Sat, P, QoL, PF	Sat, P, QoL, PF	

Study (First Author, Date,	Intervention Name	Broad Intervention Category	Brief description or key features of intervention	Comparator Name and Brief Description		Sample: Total sample size,		Outcomes and Time points				
Country, Study design)					Procedure	Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD	
Williamsson 2015, ⁶² Sweden, UBA	Fast Track Protocol	ERP	Information, preoperative nutrition, and antithrombotic prophylaxis, fasting from midnight, nutrition/fluid/mobilisat ion protocol	Pre-Fast-Track Protocol: antimicrobial prophylaxis, thoracic epidural/PCA, drains, NG tube, drain removal at surgeons' discretion	Pancreatico- duodenectomy	100, 68 (range 15-81), 43%	University Hospital		QoL			
Janssen 2020, ⁶³ Netherlands, UBA	Prehabilitation program	Prehabilitatio n	Multidisciplinary program 5 weeks prior to surgery. Assessment and optimisation of nutritional and physical health, haemoglobin levels and frailty, unsupervised home- based exercise program, dietary advice to maintain minimum required daily intake, comprehensive geriatric assessment when indicated, supplementary interventions to prevent delirium during admission and advice on delirium prevention	Usual care	Abdominal aortic aneurysm or colorectal cancer surgery	627, 76.4 (range 73-81), 37%	Teaching hospital			PF	PF	
Giacomelli 2020, ⁶⁴ Italy, CBA	ERAVS	ERP	Preadmission counselling, admitted day of surgery,	Traditional protocol	Aortic surgery	67, 71.7 (range 47-86), 9%	Hospital	P, Sat	PF, MH			

Study (First Author, Date, Country, Study design)	Intervention Name	Broad	ntion key features of	Comparator Name and Brief Description	Procedure	Sample: Total sample size, Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	Outcomes and Time points				
		Intervention Category						In hospital	PD up to 30 days	31 days to 6 mont hs PD	More than 6 mont hs PD	
			preoperative fasting, no bowel preparation, thoracic epidural, short- acting anaesthetic agents, early tube removal, early ambulation, opioid sparing pain management, early oral intake, discharge postoperative day 3									
Derman 2019, ⁶⁵ USA, UBA	Transplant optimisation program	MDT working	Cancer specific geriatric assessment followed by 5-hour multidisciplinary evaluation to create an individualised optimisation plan for patients	Pre-TOP	Stem cell transplantation	159, 65.6 (range 60-75), NR		PF				

CABG=Coronary Artery Bypass Graft; CBA=Controlled Before and After Trial; CF=Cognitive function; CT=Controlled Trial, ERAS=Enhanced Recovery After Surgery; ERP=Enhanced Recovery Program/Protocol; FT=Fatigue; GH=General health; IQR=Interquartile Range; I.V.=Intravenous; LOS=Length of Stay; MH=Mental health; NG=Nasogastric; N=Nausea; NR=Not Reported; P=Pain; PA=physical activity; PACP=Preoperative assessment with care plan; PF=Physical function; PO=Post-Operative; POD=Post-Operative Day; QoL=Quality of life; Sat=Satisfaction; SF=Social function; SU=Service utilisation; VT=Vitality; TAVR=Transcatheter aortic valve replacement; THA=Total Hip Arthroplasty; TKA=Total Knee Arthroplasty; UBA=Uncontrolled Before And After Trial

Table 2. Studies from the additional searches which were not prioritised for inclusion in the quantitative synthesis

								Outcomes and Time points				
Study (First Author, Date, Country, Study design)	Intervention Name	Broad Intervention Category	Brief description or key features of intervention	Comparator Name and Brief Description	Procedure	Sample: Total sample size, Mean age (SD), % female	Setting	In hospital	PD up to 30	31 days to 6 months	More than 6 months	
									days	PD	PD	
Bloom 2021, ⁷¹ USA, UBA	Opioid sparing multimodal protocol	ERP	Opioid sparing protocol commenced prior to surgery and continued beyond discharge	Pre-protocol	Total hip arthroplasty	1003, 64.1 (9.4), 56%	Hospital		Sat			
Leiss 2021, ⁷² Germany, CT	ERAS	ERP	Patient education and preoperative gait training. Preoperative administration of NSAID (etoricoxib 90 mg. Use of short acting spinal anaesthesia and tranexamic acid. Use of a localinfiltration analgesia in periacetabular and subcutaneously. Omission of drains. Early mobilisation.	Usual Care	Total hip arthroplasty	320, 65.0 (10.32), 50%	Hospital				QoL, PF	

			Specially adapted physiotherapy.							
Morgan 2021, ⁷³ UK, Cohort	Enhanced Recovery	ERP	Patient education, physiotherapy protocol, anaesthetic and analgesic protocol, discharge support	Non-enhanced recovery	Total shoulder arthroplasty	142, 73.1 (NR), 67%	Hospital	P	PF,Sat	
Paulsen 2022, ⁷⁴ Norway, Cohort	Fast track regimen	ERP	Standarised pain medication and information. Early mobilisation. Improved follow up and collection of PROMs	Hip vs knee	Joint replacement	1508, 71 (range 18- 94), 62%	Hospital	QoL, PF		QoL, PF
Porche 2022, ⁷⁵ USA, Cohort	ERAS	ERP	Patient education, intensive aneasthesia evaluation, preoperative and postoperative anaesthesia and pain management protocol, fluid and blood transfusion management, improved	Pre-ERAS	Level 1-2, Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF)	58, 73.1 (4.5), 47%	Hospital	P,SU		

			communication, standard bowel regimen, early mobilisation							
Saunders 2021, ⁷⁶ UK, CT	Day case pathway	ERP	Early listing priorities, day-case anaesthesic protocol, and early postoperaptive investigations	Standard case	Total and uncompartmental knee arthroplasty	129, 63.8 (12.8), 56%	Hospital	P, Sat	P, Sat	

CT=Controlled Trial, ERAS=Enhanced Recovery After Surgery; ERP=Enhanced Recovery Program/Protocol; NR=Not Reported; P=Pain; PF=Physical function; PO=Post-Operative; POD=Post-Operative Day; QoL=Quality of life; Sat=Satisfaction; SU=Service utilisation; THA=Total Hip Arthroplasty; TKA=Total Knee Arthroplasty; UBA=Uncontrolled Before and After Trial

References

- 1. Chen CC, Lin MT, Tien YW, Yen CJ, Huang GH, Inouye SK. Modified hospital elder life program: effects on abdominal surgery patients. *J Am Coll Surg* 2011;**213**:245-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.05.004
- 2. Chen CC, Chen CN, Lai IR, Huang GH, Saczynski JS, Inouye SK. Effects of a modified Hospital Elder Life Program on frailty in individuals undergoing major elective abdominal surgery. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2014;**62**:261-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.12651
- 3. Burns MR, Schneider LM, Sorajja P, Garberich RF, Rush PS, Foag K, *et al.* Clinical and Economic Outcomes of the Minimalist Approach for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. *Structural Heart* 2019;**3**:138-43.
- 4. El Baz N, Middel B, van Dijk JP, Boonstra PW, Reijneveld SA. Coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery patients in a clinical pathway gained less in health-related quality of life as compared with patients who undergo CABG in a conventional-care plan. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2009;**15**:498-505. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2008.01051.x
- 5. Emaminia A, Corcoran PC, Siegenthaler MP, Means M, Rasmussen S, Krause L, et al. The universal bed model for patient care improves outcome and lowers cost in cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2012;**143**:475-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2011.10.001
- 6. Lee GA, Wyatt S, Topliss D, Walker KZ, Stoney R. A study of a pre-operative intervention in patients with diabetes undergoing cardiac surgery. *Collegian: Journal of the Royal College of Nursing, Australia* 2014;**21**:287-93.
- 7. Trummer UF, Mueller UO, Nowak P, Stidl T, Pelikan JM. Does physician-patient communication that aims at empowering patients improve clinical outcome? A case study. *Patient Education & Counseling* 2006;**61**:299-306.

- 8. Williams JB, McConnell G, Allender JE, Woltz P, Kane K, Smith PK, et al. One-year results from the first US-based enhanced recovery after cardiac surgery (ERAS Cardiac) program. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 2019;157:1881-8.
- 9. Andersen J, Hjort-Jakobsen D, Christiansen PS, Kehlet H. Readmission rates after a planned hospital stay of 2 versus 3 days in fast-track colonic surgery. *Br J Surg* 2007;**94**:890-3. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.5669
- 10. Basse L, Raskov HH, Hjort Jakobsen D, Sonne E, Billesbolle P, Hendel HW, et al. Accelerated postoperative recovery programme after colonic resection improves physical performance, pulmonary function and body composition. *British Journal of Surgery* 2002;**89**:446-53.
- 11. Hjort Jakobsen D, Sonne E, Basse L, Bisgaard T, Kehlet H. Convalescence after colonic resection with fast-track versus conventional care. *Scand J Surg* 2004;**93**:24-8. https://doi.org/10.1177/145749690409300105
- 12. Jakobsen DH, Sonne E, Andreasen J, Kehlet H. Convalescence after colonic surgery with fast-track vs conventional care. *Colorectal Dis* 2006;**8**:683-7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1318.2006.00995.x
- 13. Kim B, Park S, Park K, Ryoo S. Effects of a surgical ward care protocol following open colon surgery as part of an enhanced recovery after surgery programme. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2017;**26**:3336-44.
- 14. Lee L, Mata J, Ghitulescu GA, Boutros M, Charlebois P, Stein B, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Enhanced Recovery Versus Conventional Perioperative Management for Colorectal Surgery. Ann Surg 2015;262:1026-33. https://doi.org/10.1097/sla.000000000001019
- 15. Lee SM, Kang SB, Jang JH, Park JS, Hong S, Lee TG, et al. Early rehabilitation versus conventional care after laparoscopic rectal surgery: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *Surgical Endoscopy* 2013;**27**:3902-9.
- 16. Melbert RB, Kimmins MH, Isler JT, Billingham RP, Lawton D, Salvadalena G, et al. Use of a critical pathway for colon resections. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2002;**6**:745-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1091-255x(02)00038-0
- 17. Mohn AC, Bernardshaw SV, Ristesund SM, Hovde Hansen PE, Røkke O. Enhanced recovery after colorectal surgery. Results from a prospective observational two-centre study. *Scand J Surg* 2009;**98**:155-9. https://doi.org/10.1177/145749690909800305
- 18. Raue W, Haase O, Junghans T, Scharfenberg M, Müller JM, Schwenk W. 'Fast-track' multimodal rehabilitation program improves outcome after laparoscopic sigmoidectomy: a controlled prospective evaluation. *Surg Endosc* 2004;**18**:1463-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-003-9238-y
- 19. Thanh NX, Chuck AW, Wasylak T, Lawrence J, Faris P, Ljungqvist O, *et al.* An economic evaluation of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) multisite implementation program for colorectal surgery in Alberta. *Can J Surg* 2016;**59**:415-21. https://doi.org/10.1503/cjs.006716
- 20. Zargar-Shoshtari K, Paddison JS, Booth RJ, Hill AG. A prospective study on the influence of a fast-track program on postoperative fatigue and functional recovery after major colonic surgery. *J Surg Res* 2009;**154**:330-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2008.06.023
- 21. Jandali DB, Vaughan D, Eggerstedt M, Ganti A, Scheltens H, Ramirez EA, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery in head and neck surgery: Reduced opioid use and length of stay. *Laryngoscope* 2020;**130**:1227-32.
- 22. Brodner G, Van Aken H, Hertle L, Fobker M, Von Eckardstein A, Goeters C, et al. Multimodal perioperative management--combining thoracic epidural analgesia, forced mobilization, and oral nutrition--reduces hormonal and metabolic stress and improves convalescence after major urologic surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2001;**92**:1594-600. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000539-200106000-00049
- 23. Turini GA, Clark MA, Machan J, Tucci C, Renzulli JF. The Role of a Standardized Clinical Care Pathway in Patient Satisfaction and Quality of Life Outcomes after Robotic Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. *Urology Practice* 2017;**4**:232-8.

- 24. Arienti C, Pollet J, Buraschi R, Piovanelli B, Villafane JH, Galeri S, et al. Fast-track rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty reduces length of hospital stay: A prospective, case-control clinical trial. *Turkish Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2020;**66**:398-404.
- 25. Auyong DB, Allen CJ, Pahang JA, Clabeaux JJ, MacDonald KM, Hanson NA. Reduced Length of Hospitalization in Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty Patients Using an Updated Enhanced Recovery After Orthopedic Surgery (ERAS) Pathway. *J Arthroplasty* 2015;**30**:1705-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2015.05.007
- 26. Batsis JA, Naessens JM, Keegan MT, Huddleston PM, Wagie AE, Huddleston JM. Resource utilization of total knee arthroplasty patients cared for on specialty orthopedic surgery units. *J Hosp Med* 2008;**3**:218-27. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.299
- 27. Berg U, A WD, Rolfson O, Naucler E, Sundberg M, Nilsdotter A. Influence of fast-track programs on patient-reported outcomes in total hip and knee replacement (THR/TKR) at Swedish hospitals 2011-2015: an observational study including 51,169 THR and 8,393 TKR operations. *Acta Orthopaedica* 2020:**91**:306-12.
- 28. Brunenberg DE, van Steyn MJ, Sluimer JC, Bekebrede LL, Bulstra SK, Joore MA. Joint recovery programme versus usual care: an economic evaluation of a clinical pathway for joint replacement surgery. *Med Care* 2005;**43**:1018-26. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mlr.0000178266.75744.35
- 29. Castorina S, Guglielmino C, Castrogiovanni P, Szychlinska MA, Ioppolo F, Massimino P, *et al.* Clinical evidence of traditional vs fast track recovery methodologies after total arthroplasty for osteoarthritic knee treatment. A retrospective observational study. *Muscles, Ligaments and Tendons Journal* 2017;**7**:504-13.
- 30. Chen SR, Chen CS, Lin PC. The effect of educational intervention on the pain and rehabilitation performance of patients who undergo a total knee replacement. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2014;**23**:279-87.
- 31. Christelis N, Wallace S, Sage CE, Babitu U, Liew S, Dugal J, et al. An enhanced recovery after surgery program for hip and knee arthroplasty. *Med J Aust* 2015;**202**:363-8. https://doi.org/10.5694/mja14.00601
- 32. Cullen J, Bramley D, Armstrong D, Butler L, Rouse P, Ashton T. Increasing productivity, reducing cost and improving quality in elective surgery in New Zealand: the Waitemata District Health Board joint arthroplasty pilot. *Intern Med J* 2012;**42**:620-6. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1445-5994.2012.02815.x
- 33. Fussenich W, Gerhardt DM, Pauly T, Lorenz F, Olieslagers M, Braun C, et al. A comparative health care inventory for primary hip arthroplasty between Germany versus the Netherlands. Is there a downside effect to fast-track surgery with regard to patient satisfaction and functional outcome? *Hip International* 2020;**30**:423-30.
- 34. Gwynne-Jones DP, Martin G, Crane C. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery for Hip and Knee Replacements. *Orthop Nurs* 2017;**36**:203-10. https://doi.org/10.1097/nor.0000000000000351
- 35. Hansen TB, Bredtoft HK, Larsen K. Preoperative physical optimization in fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty. *Dan Med J* 2012;**59**:A4381.
- 36. Healy WL, Iorio R, Ko J, Appleby D, Lemos DW. Impact of cost reduction programs on short-term patient outcome and hospital cost of total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002;**84**:348-53. https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200203000-00003
- 37. Hoorntje A, Koenraadt KL, Boeve MG, van Geenen RC. Outpatient unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: who is afraid of outpatient surgery? *Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy : official journal of the ESSKA* 2017;**25**:759-66.
- 38. Jansen JA, Kruidenier J, Spek B, Snoeker BAM. A cost-effectiveness analysis after implementation of a fast-track protocol for total knee arthroplasty. *Knee* 2020;**27**:451-8.

- 39. Kort NP, Bemelmans YFL, Schotanus MGM. Outpatient surgery for unicompartmental knee arthroplasty is effective and safe. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 2017;**25**:2659-67.
- 40. Krummenauer F, Guenther KP, Kirschner S. Cost effectiveness of total knee arthroplasty from a health care providers' perspective before and after introduction of an interdisciplinary clinical pathway--is investment always improvement? *BMC Health Serv Res* 2011;**11**:338. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-11-338
- 41. Larsen K, Hansen TB, Søballe K. Hip arthroplasty patients benefit from accelerated perioperative care and rehabilitation: a quasi-experimental study of 98 patients. *Acta Orthop* 2008;**79**:624-30. https://doi.org/10.1080/17453670810016632
- 42. Larsen K, Hansen TB, Soballe K, Kehlet H. Patient-reported outcome after fast-track hip arthroplasty: a prospective cohort study. *Health & Quality of Life Outcomes* 2010;**8**:144.
- 43. Larsen K, Hansen TB, Soballe K, Kehlet H. Patient-reported outcome after fast-track knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 2012;**20**:1128-35.
- Liang CK, Chu CS, Hsu YH, Chou MY, Wang YC, Lin YT, et al. Effects of modified version of the Hospital Elder Life Program on post-discharge cognitive function and activities of daily living among older adults undergoing total knee arthroplasty. *Archives of Gerontology & Geriatrics* 2021;**93**:104284.
- 45. Lin PC, Hung SH, Wu HF, Hsu HC, Chu CY, Su SJ. The effects of a care map for total knee replacement patients. *J Clin Nurs* 2011;**20**:3119-27. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2011.03804.x
- 46. Lin YK, Su JY, Lin GT, Tien YC, Chien SS, Lin CJ, et al. Impact of a clinical pathway for total knee arthroplasty. Kaohsiung J Med Sci 2002;18:134-40.
- 47. Marsh J, Somerville L, Howard JL, Lanting BA. Significant cost savings and similar patient outcomes associated with early discharge following total knee arthroplasty. *Canadian Journal of Surgery* 2019;**62**:20-4.
- 48. Naylor JM, Hart A, Mittal R, Harris IA, Xuan W. The effectiveness of inpatient rehabilitation after uncomplicated total hip arthroplasty: a propensity score matched cohort. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2018;**19**:236.
- 49. Olsson LE, Hansson E, Ekman I. Evaluation of person-centred care after hip replacement-a controlled before and after study on the effects of fear of movement and self-efficacy compared to standard care. *BMC Nurs* 2016;**15**:53. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-016-0173-3
- 50. Renkawitz T, Rieder T, Handel M, Koller M, Drescher J, Bonnlaender G, et al. Comparison of two accelerated clinical pathways--after total knee replacement how fast can we really go? Clin Rehabil 2010;24:230-9. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215509353267
- Fast-Track Hip and Knee Elective Prosthesis Patients. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2021;**10**:12.
- 52. Stone S. A retrospective evaluation of the impact of the Planetree patient-centered model of care on inpatient quality outcomes. *HERD: Health Environments Research & Design Journal* 2008;**1**:55-69.
- 53. Tan NLT, Hunt JL, Gwini SM. Does implementation of an enhanced recovery after surgery program for hip replacement improve quality of recovery in an Australian private hospital: a quality improvement study. *BMC Anesthesiology* 2018;**18**:64.
- 54. Ziegler J, Elbuluk A, Schwarzkopf R, Long WJ. Improved HCAHPS Scores with a Same Day Discharge Program for Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Bulletin of the Hospital for Joint Disease (2013)* 2019;**77**:263-8.

- Ifrach J, Basu R, Joshi DS, Flanders TM, Ozturk AK, Malhotra NR, et al. Efficacy of an Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Pathway in Elderly Patients Undergoing Spine and Peripheral Nerve Surgery. Clinical Neurology & Neurosurgery 2020;197:106115.
- 56. Khoury AL, Kolarczyk LM, Strassle PD, Feltner C, Hance LM, Teeter EG, et al. Thoracic Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: Single Academic Center Observations After Implementation. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 2021;**11**:1036-43.
- Nelson DB, Mehran RJ, Mitchell KG, Correa AM, Sepesi B, Antonoff MB, et al. Enhanced recovery after thoracic surgery is associated with improved adjuvant chemotherapy completion for non-small cell lung cancer. *Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery* 2019;**158**:279-86.e1.
- 58. Sun V, Raz DJ, Ruel N, Chang W, Erhunmwunsee L, Reckamp K, et al. A Multimedia Self-management Intervention to Prepare Cancer Patients and Family Caregivers for Lung Surgery and Postoperative Recovery. Clinical Lung Cancer 2017;18:e151-e9.
- Tahiri M, Goudie E, Jouquan A, Martin J, Ferraro P, Liberman M. Enhanced recovery after video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery lobectomy: a prospective, historically controlled, propensity-matched clinical study. *Canadian journal of surgery Journal canadian de chirurgie* 2020;**63**:E233-E40. https://doi.org/10.1503/cjs.001919
- 60. Nussbaum DP, Penne K, Stinnett SS, Speicher PJ, Cocieru A, Blazer DG, 3rd, et al. A standardized care plan is associated with shorter hospital length of stay in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy. J Surg Res 2015;193:237-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2014.06.036
- 61. Savikko J, Ilmakunnas M, Mäkisalo H, Nordin A, Isoniemi H. Enhanced recovery protocol after liver resection. *Br J Surg* 2015;**102**:1526-32. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9912
- 62. Williamsson C, Karlsson N, Sturesson C, Lindell G, Andersson R, Tingstedt B. Impact of a fast-track surgery programme for pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Br J Surg* 2015;**102**:1133-41. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9856
- 63. Janssen TL, Steyerberg EW, van Hoof-de Lepper C, Seerden TCJ, de Lange DC, Wijsman JH, et al. Long-term outcomes of major abdominal surgery and postoperative delirium after multimodal prehabilitation of older patients. Surgery Today 2020;**50**:1461-70.
- 64. Giacomelli E, Dorigo W, Campolmi M, Casini A, Fargion A, Bush RL, *et al.* A pilot study of the enhanced recovery after surgery protocol in aortic surgery. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 2020;**17**:17.
- 65. Derman BA, Kordas K, Ridgeway J, Chow S, Dale W, Lee SM, et al. Results from a multidisciplinary clinic guided by geriatric assessment before stem cell transplantation in older adults. *Blood Advances* 2019;**3**:3488-98.
- 66. Jansson MM, Harjumaa M, Puhto AP, Pikkarainen M. Patients' satisfaction and experiences during elective primary fast-track total hip and knee arthroplasty journey: A qualitative study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2020;**29**:567-82. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15121
- 67. Opasich C, Patrignani A, Mazza A, Gualco A, Cobelli F, Pinna GD. An elderly-centered, personalized, physiotherapy program early after cardiac surgery. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2010;**17**:582-7. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJR.0b013e3283394977
- 68. Li C, Carli F, Lee L, Charlebois P, Stein B, Liberman AS, et al. Impact of a trimodal prehabilitation program on functional recovery after colorectal cancer surgery: a pilot study. Surg Endosc 2013;27:1072-82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-012-2560-5
- 69. Andersen J, Hjort-Jakobsen D, Christiansen P, Kehlet H. Readmission rates after a planned hospital stay of 2 versus 3 days in fast-track colonic surgery. *Journal of British Surgery* 2007;**94**:890-3.
- 70. Lee TG, Kang SB, Kim DW, Hong S, Heo SC, Park KJ. Comparison of early mobilization and diet rehabilitation program with conventional care after laparoscopic colon surgery: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2011;**54**:21-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/DCR.0b013e3181fcdb3e

- 71. Bloom DA, Manjunath AK, Gualtieri AP, Fried JW, Schwarzkopf RM, Macaulay WB, et al. Patient Satisfaction After Total Hip Arthroplasty Is Not Influenced by Reductions in Opioid Prescribing. The Journal of arthroplasty 2021;36:S250-S7. https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2021.02.009
- 72. Leiss F, Schindler M, Gotz JS, Maderbacher G, Meyer M, Reinhard J, et al. Superior Functional Outcome and Comparable Health-Related Quality of Life after Enhanced Recovery vs. Conventional THA: A Retrospective Matched Pair Analysis. *Journal of clinical medicine* 2021;**10**. https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm10143096
- 73. Morgan ML, Davies-Jones GR, Ibrahim EF, Booker SJ, Bateman M, Tambe AA, *et al.* Introduction of an enhanced recovery programme for total shoulder arthroplasty: report of a novel pathway. *BMJ open quality* 2021;**10**. https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjoq-2021-001371
- 74. Paulsen A, Djuv A, Ludvigsen J, Dalen I. Excellent PROM results after fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty with no postoperative restrictions: a cohort study validation of fast-track surgery without postoperative restrictions. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders* 2022;**23**:324. https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12891-022-05276-y
- 75. Porche K, Yan S, Mohamed B, Garvan C, Samra R, Melnick K, *et al.* Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) improves return of physiological function in frail patients undergoing 1-2 level TLIFs: an observational retrospective cohort study. *The spine journal : official journal of the North American Spine Society* 2022; https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2022.04.007
- 76. Saunders P, Smith N, Syed F, Selvaraj T, Waite J, Young S. Introducing a day-case arthroplasty pathway significantly reduces overall length of stay. *Bone & joint open* 2021;**2**:900-8. https://dx.doi.org/10.1302/2633-1462.211.BJO-2021-0106.R1