

Table S3. Per protocol analyses (comparison based on patients who actually received randomly assigned treatment)

Outcome	Bilateral graft group N	Single graft group N	Deaths Single graft group	Deaths Bilateral graft group	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
Primary – Intention to treat unadjusted	1548	1554	329 (21.2%)	315 (20.4%)	0.96 (0.82, 1.12)
Primary Intention to treat – adjusted ¹	1548	1554	329 (21.2%)	315 (20.4%)	0.97 (0.83, 1.14)
Per protocol – unadjusted	1294	1494	317 (21.2%)	255 (19.7%)	0.92 (0.78, 1.08)
Per protocol – Adjusted ¹	1294	1494	317 (21.2%)	255 (19.7%)	0.96 (0.81, 1.13)

Adjusted for age, gender, diabetes and ejection fraction¹

Hazard ratios use the single graft group as the control. The widths of the confidence intervals have not been adjusted for multiplicity and should not be used for inference. ITT: Intention to treat; CI: Confidence interval. Reproduced from: Taggart, David P et al. “Bilateral versus Single Internal-Thoracic-Artery Grafts at 10 Years.” The New England journal of medicine vol. 380,5 (2019): 437-446. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1808783.