Supplementary file 1. Staff responses to questions relating to Normalization Process Theory (NPT) implementation constructs for the School Food Standards (SFS) and School Food Plan (SFP)

 Table 1. Staff responses to questions relating to NPT implementation constructs for the SFS

Questionnaire item	All staff			Staff in SFS-m	nandated schoo	ls	Staff in SFS-n	on-mandated s	chools
(NPT sub-construct)	N responding to the question	Question seen as applicable to their role; n (%)	Agree/strongly agree; n (%)	N responding to the question	Question seen as applicable to their role; n (%)	Agree/strongly agree; n (%)	N responding to the question	Question seen as applicable to their role; n (%)	Agree/strongly agree; n (%)
Coherence									
There is a shared understanding among staff of the purpose of the SFS (Communal specification)	119	114 (95.8)	43 (36.1)	27	26 (96.3)	10 (37.0)	92	88 (95.7)	33 (35.9)
Things would be different if the SFS were not in place (Differentiation)	119	114 (95.8)	34 (28.6)	27	26 (96.3)	12 (44.4)	92	88 (95.7)	22 (23.9)
I understand what is required of me in relation to implementation of the SFS (Individual Specification)	118	106 (89.8)	61 (51.7)	27	23 (85.2)	16 (59.3)	92	84 (91.3)	45 (48.9)
I can see how the SFS have the potential to benefit me or my work (Internalisation)	119	108 (90.8)	71 (59.7)	27	25 (92.6)	19 (70.4)	92	83 (90.2)	52 (56.2)
Cognitive participation									
There are key people who drive the implementation of the	118	115 (97.5)	73 (61.9)	27	27 (100.0)	15 (55.6)	91	88 (96.7)	58 (63.7)

SFS forward and get others involved (Initiation)									
I believe that implementing the SFS is a legitimate part of my role (Legitimation)	117	100 (85.5)	63 (53.8)	26	21 (780.8)	12 (52.1)	90	78 (86.7)	49 (54.4)
I'm open to working with colleagues in new ways to implement the SFS (Enrolment)	118	109 (92.4)	98 (83.1)	26	25 (96.2)	20 (76.9)	92	84 (91.3)	78 (84.8)
I will continue to support the implementation of the SFS (Activation)	119	113 (95.0)	104 (87.4)	27	26 (96.3)	23 (85.2)	92	87 (96.7)	81 (90.0)
Collective action									
The implementation/ delivery of the SFS creates additional work for me (Interactional workability)	115	95 (82.6)	30 (26.1)	25	20 (80.0)	6 (24.0)	90	75 (83.3)	24 (26.7)
Everyone works well together to implement/deliver the SFS (Relational integration)	114	105 (92.1)	56 (49.1)	26	25 (96.2)	16 (61.5)	89	81 (91.0)	41 (46.0)
Work is assigned to those with the appropriate skills/ roles to implement the SFS (Skill-set workability)	114	104 (91.2)	64 (56.1)	24	23 (95.8)	15 (62.5)	89	80 (89.9)	49 (55.1)
There is a lack of resources to support the implementation of the SFS	115	106 (92.2)	12 (10.4)	25	24 (96.0)	1 (4.0)	90	82 (91.0)	11 (12.2)

(Contextual Integration)									
Reflexive monitoring				÷		•	•		•
I believe that the SFS are worthwhile (Systematisation)	113	111 (98.2)	99 (87.6)	24	23 (95.8)	23 (95.8)	89	88 (98.9)	76 (85.4)
All staff agree that the SFS are worthwhile (Communal appraisal)	112	110 (98.2)	48 (42.9)	24	23 (95.8)	18 (75.0)	88	87 (98.9)	30 (34.1)
The SFS have had a positive effect on me/my work (Individual appraisal)	113	98 (86.7)	39 (34.5)	24	20 (83.3)	9 (37.5)	89	78 (87.6)	30 (33.7)
Feedback about how the school implements/delivers the SFS can be used to improve this in the future (<i>Reconfiguration</i>)	113	108 (95.6)	78 (69.0)	24	23 (95.8)	17 (70.8)	89	85 (95.5)	61 (68.5)

Table 2. Staff responses to questions relating to NPT implementation constructs for the SFP

Questionnaire item	All staff			Staff in SFS-m	nandated scho	ols	Staff in SFS-n	on-mandated	schools
(NPT sub-construct)	N responding to the question	Question seen as applicable to their role; n (%)	Agree/strongly agree; n (%)	N responding to the question	Question seen as applicable to their role; n (%)	Agree/strongly agree; n (%)	N responding to the question	Question seen as applicable to their role; n (%)	Agree/strongly agree; n (%)
Coherence									
There is a shared understanding among staff of the purpose of the SFP recommendations (Communal specification)	56	54 (96.4)	23 (41.1)	14	13 (92.9)	5 (35.7)	42	41 (97.6)	18 (42.9)
At my school, things would be different if the SFP	56	54 (96.4)	28 (50.0)	14	13 (92.9)	10 (71.4)	42	41 (97.6)	18 (42.9)

recommendations were not in									
place (Differentiation)									
I understand what is required	55	49 (89.1)	35 (63.6)	14	11 (78.6)	8 (57.1)	41	38 (92.7)	27 (65.8)
of me to meet the SFP									
recommendations (Individual									
Specification)									
I can see how the SFP	56	51 (91.1)	38 (67.9)	14	12 (85.7)	12 (85.7)	42	39 (92.9)	26 (61.9)
recommendations have the									
potential to benefit me or my									
work (Internalisation)									
Cognitive participation	1		- 1			-1			1
There are key people who	55	53 (96.4)	39 (70.9)	14	13 (92.9)	11 (78.6)	41	40 (97.6)	28 (68.3)
drive the implementation of									
the SFP recommendations									
forward and get others									
involved (Initiation)									
I believe that implementing	55	48 (87.3)	30 (54.5)	14	10 (71.4)	7 (50.0)	41	38 (92.7)	23 (66.1)
the SFP recommendations is a									
legitimate part of my role									
(Legitimation)									0.5 (00.0)
I'm open to working with	56	53 (94.6)	46 (82.1)	14	12 (85.7)	11 (78.6)	42	41 (97.6)	35 (83.3)
colleagues in new ways to									
implement recommendations									
from the SFP (Enrolment) I will continue to support the	56	55 (98.2)	47 (83.9)	14	13 (92.9)	13 (92.9)	42	42 (100)	34 (81.0)
implementation of	50	55 (98.2)	47 (83.9)	14	13 (92.9)	13 (92.9)	42	42 (100)	34 (81.0)
recommendations from the									
SFP									
(Activation)									
Collective action									
The implementation/ delivery	54	47 (87.0)	12 (22.2)	13	9 (69.2)	1 (7.7)	41	38 (92.7)	11 (26.9)
of the SFP recommendations		(<i>/</i>	, ,			. ,		ζ- ,	- /
creates additional work for me									
(Interactional workability)									
Everyone works well together	54	56 (96.3)	28 (51.9)	13	12 (92.3)	5 (38.5)	41	40 (97.6)	23 (56.1)
to implement/deliver the SFP									

recommendations (Relational integration)									
Work is assigned to those with the appropriate skills and roles to implement the SFP recommendations (Skill-set workability)	55	54 (98.2)	34 (61.8)	14	13 (92.9)	9 (64.3)	41	41 (100.0)	25 (61.0)
There is a lack of resources to support the implementation of the SFP recommendations at this school (Contextual Integration)	55	54 (98.2)	7 (12.7)	14	13 (92.9)	0 (0.0)	41	42 (100.0)	7 (17.1)
Reflexive monitoring		•			•		•		
I believe that the SFP recommendations are worthwhile (Systematisation)	55	53 (96.4)	47 (85.5)	14	13 (92.9)	13 (92.9)	41	40 (97.6)	34 (82.9)
All staff agree that the SFP recommendations are worthwhile (Communal appraisal)	55	52 (94.5)	29 (52.7)	14	13 (92.9)	10 (71.4)	41	39 (95.1)	19 (46.3)
The SFP recommendations have had a positive effect on me and/or my work (Individual appraisal)	55	48 (87.3)	30 (54.5)	14	11 (78.6)	10 (71.4)	41	37 (90.2)	20 (48.8)
Feedback about how the school implements/delivers the School Food Plan recommendations can be used to improve implementation/delivery in the future (<i>Reconfiguration</i>)	54	52 (96.3)	41 (75.9)	14	13 (92.9)	13 (92.9)	40	39 (97.5)	28 (70.0)

Questionnaire	Catering			Senior Lea	dership Tea	m	Teachers			Governors		
item	Ν	Questio	Agree/stron	Ν	Questio	Agree/stron	N	Questio	Agree/stron	Ν	Questio	Agree/stron
(NPT sub- construct)	respondi ng to the	n seen as	gly agree; n (%)	respondi ng to the	n seen as	gly agree; n (%)	respondi ng to the	n seen as	gly agree; n (%)	respondi ng to the	n seen as	gly agree; n (%)
	question	applicab		question	applicab		question	applicab		question	applicab	
		le to			le to			le to			le to	
		their			their			their			their	
		role; n (%)			role; n (%)			role; n (%)			role; n (%)	
Coherence							•					
There is a shared	40	40	24 (60.0)	32	29	8 (25.0)	22	21	1 (4.5)	25	24	10 (40.0)
understanding		(100.0)			(90.6)			(95.5)			(96.0)	
among staff of												
the purpose of the SFS												
(Communal												
specification)												
Things would be	40	40	16 (40.0)	32	29	12 (37.5)	22	21	4 (18.2)	25	24	2 (8.0)
different if the		(100.0)	, , ,		(90.6)	, , ,		(95.5)	, , ,		(96.0)	, , ,
SFS were not in												
place												
(Differentiation)												
I understand	40	40	35 (77.5)	32	29	15 (45.9)	21	20	6 (28.5)	25	17	5 (20.0)
what is required		(100.0)			(90.6)			(95.2)			(68.0)	
of me in relation to												
implementation												
of the SFS												
(Individual												
Specification)												
I can see how	40	40	30 (65.0)	32	30	19 (59.4)	22	22	10 (45.5)	25	16	12 (48.0)
the SFS have the		(100.0)			(93.7)			(100.0)			(64.0)	
potential to												
benefit me or												

Table 3. Staff responses to questions relating to NPT implementation constructs for the SFS by staff group

	1	1										
my work												
(Internalisation)	I		<u> </u>									
Cognitive participa		1							- ()			
There are key	40	40	27 (67.5)	32	30	22 (68.7)	21	21	6 (28.6)	25	24	18 (72.0)
people who		(100.0)			(93.7)			(100.0)			(96.0)	
drive the												
implementation of the SFS												
forward and get												
others involved												
(Initiation)												
I believe that	39	38	30 (76.9)	32	27	18 (56.3)	22	20	7 (31.8)	24	13	8 (33.3)
implementing	55	(97.4)	50 (70.5)	52	(84.4)	10 (30.3)	22	(90.9)	, (31.0)	27	(62.5)	0 (33.3)
the SFS is a		(0711)			(0)			(30.3)			(0=.0)	
legitimate part												
of my role												
(Legitimation)												
I'm open to	39	39	36 (92.3)	32	27	26 (81.3)	22	21	16 (72.7)	25	24	20 (80.0)
working with		(100.0)			(84.4)			(95.5)			(88.0)	
colleagues in												
new ways to												
implement the												
SFS												
<i>(Enrolment)</i> I will continue to	40	40	27 (02 5)	32	28	20 (07 5)	22	21	10/01 0)	25	23	21 (04 0)
support the	40	40 (100.0)	37 (92.5)	32	28 (87.5)	28 (87.5)	22	(100.0)	18 (81.8)	25	23 (92.0)	21 (84.0)
implementation		(100.0)			(87.5)			(100.0)			(92.0)	
of the SFS												
(Activation)												
Collective action					<u> </u>			I				
The	38	36	12 (31.6)	32	26	11 (34.4)	20	18	4 (20.0)	25	15	3 (12.0)
implementation/		(94.7)			(81.2)			(90.0)			(60.0)	
delivery of the												
SFS creates												
additional work												
for me												

(Interactional workability)												
Everyone works well together to implement/deliv er the SFS (Relational integration)	37	36 (97.3)	31 (83.8)	32	28 (87.5)	13 (30.6)	20	19 (95.0)	1 (5.0)	25	22 (88.0)	11 (44.0)
Work is assigned to those with the appropriate skills/ roles to implement the SFS (Skill-set workability)	38	37 (97.4)	25 (55.8)	30	26 (86.7)	21 (70.0)	20	20 (100.0)	6 (30.0)	25	20 (80.0)	12 (48.0)
There is a lack of resources to support the implementation of the SFS (Contextual Integration)	38	37 (97.4)	4 (10.5)	32	28 (87.5)	2 (6.3)	20	19 (95.0)	6 (30.0)	25	22 (88.0)	0 (0.0)
Reflexive monitori			I	I	ł	T	I		ſ	I		r
I believe that the SFS are worthwhile (Systematisation)	38	38 (100.0)	35 (92.1)	31	29 (93.5)	26 (83.9)	20	20 (100.0)	16 (80.0)	24	23 (95.8)	22 (91.7)
All staff agree that the SFS are worthwhile (Communal appraisal)	38	38 (100.0)	28 (73.7)	31	29 (93.5)	9 (29.1)	19	19 (100.0)	3 (15.8)	24	24 (100.0)	8 (33.4)
The SFS have had a positive effect	37	37 (100.0)	24 (64.8)	31	29 (93.5)	9 (29.1)	20	18 (90.0)	4 (20.0)	25	14 (56.0)	2 (8.0)

on me/my work (Individual appraisal)												
Feedback about how the school implements/deli vers the SFS can be used to improve this in the future (Reconfiguration)	37	37 (100.0)	29 (77.3)	31	29 (93.5)	19 (61.3)	20	20 (100.0)	11 (55.0)	25	22 (88.0)	19 (86.0)

Table 4. Staff responses to questions relating to NPT implementation constructs for the SFP by staff group

Questionnaire item	Catering			Senior Lea	dership Tea	am	Teachers			Governors		
(NPT sub-construct)	Ν	Questio	Agree/stron	N	Questio	Agree/stron	Ν	Questio	Agree/stron	Ν	Questio	Agree/stron
	respondi	n seen	gly agree; n	respondi	n seen	gly agree; n	respondi	n seen	gly agree; n	respondi	n seen	gly agree; n
	ng to the	as	(%)	ng to the	as	(%)	ng to the	as	(%)	ng to the	as	(%)
	question	applica		question	applica		question	applica		question	applica	
		ble to			ble to			ble to			ble to	
		their			their			their			their	
		role; n			role; n			role; n			role; n	
		(%)			(%)			(%)			(%)	
Coherence	r	1	1	r	1	1	1	1	-	1	r	1
There is a shared	23	23	14 (60.9)	11	1 (90.9)	2 (18.2)	10	10	1 (10.0)	12	11	6 (50.0)
understanding		(100.0)						(100.0)			(91.7)	
among staff of the												
purpose of the SFP												
recommendations												
(Communal												
specification)												
At my school, things	23	23	17 (73.9)	11	10	5 (45.5)	10	10	3 (30.0)	12	11	3 (25.0)
would be different if		(100.0)			(90.9)			(100.0)			(91.7)	

the SFP	T		Γ	Γ						1		
recommendations												
were not in place												
(Differentiation)					0 (01 0)	0 (70 7)	10	10	2 (22 2)		= (co. c)	
I understand what is	23	23	20 (87.0)	11	9 (81.8)	8 (72.7)	10	10	3 (30.0)	11	7 (63.6)	4 (36.4)
required of me to		(100.0)						(100.0)				
meet the SFP												
recommendations												
(Individual												
Specification)												
I can see how the	23	23	20 (87.0)	11	10	9 (81.8)	10	10	5 (50.0)	12	8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)
SFP		(100.0)			(90.9)			(100.0)				
recommendations												
have the potential												
to benefit me or my												
work												
(Internalisation)												
Cognitive participatio	n											
There are key	23	23	19 (82.6)	11	10	8 (72.7)	10	10	3 (30.0)	11	10	9 (81.8)
people who drive		(100.0)			(90.9)			(100.0)			(90.9)	
the implementation												
of the SFP												
recommendations												
forward and get												
others involved												
(Initiation)												
I believe that	23	23	17 (73.9)	11	9 (81.8)	8 (72.7)	10	10	2 (20.0)	11	6 (54.5)	3 (27.3)
implementing the		(100.0)	, , ,		· · /	· · · /		(100.0)	. ,		· · /	、
SFP		. ,						· ,				
recommendations is												
a legitimate part of												
my role												
(Legitimation)												
I'm open to working	23	23	21 (91.3)	11	10	9 (81.9)	10	10	8 (80.0)	12	10	8 (66.7)
with colleagues in		(100.0)	(),		(90.9)	5 (01.5)		(100.0)	0 (00.0)		(83.3)	0,0007
new ways to		(100.0)			(30.5)			(100.0)			(00.0)	
new wavs to												

implement recommendations from the SFP (Enrolment) I will continue to support the implementation of recommendations	23	23 (100.0)	21 (91.3)	11	10 (90.9)	10 (90.9)	10	10 (100.0)	6 (60.0)	12	12 (100.0)	10 (83.3)
from the SFP (Activation)												
Collective action			I	I		I		1		I		
The implementation/ delivery of the SFP recommendations creates additional work for me (Interactional workability)	22	22 (100.0)	7 (31.8)	11	9 (81.8)	4 (36.4)	10	10 (100.0)	1 (10.0)	11	6 (54.5)	0 (0.0)
Everyone works well together to implement/deliver the SFP recommendations (Relational integration)	22	22 (100.0)	18 (81.8)	11	10 (90.9)	4 (36.4)	10	10 (100.0)	1 (10.0)	11	10 (90.9)	5 (45.5)
Work is assigned to those with the appropriate skills and roles to implement the SFP recommendations (Skill-set workability)	23	23 (100.0)	18 (78.3)	11	10 (90.9)	6 (54.6)	10	10 (100.0)	2 (20.0)	11	11 (100.0)	8 (72.7)
There is a lack of resources to	23	23 (100.0)	1 (4.3)	11	10 (90.9)	2 (18.2)	10	10 (100.0)	4 (40.0)	11	11 (100.0)	0 (0.0)

	1		1	r	r		r			r	T	
support the												
implementation of												
the SFP												
recommendations												
at this school												
(Contextual												
Integration)												
Reflexive monitoring												
I believe that the SFP recommendations are worthwhile (Systematisation)	23	23 (100.0)	22 (95.7)	11	11 (100.0)	8 (72.7)	10	10 (100.0)	6 (60.0)	11	11 (100.0)	11 (100.0)
All staff agree that the SFP recommendations are worthwhile (Communal appraisal)	23	23 (100.0)	19 (82.6)	11	9 (81.8)	3 (27.3)	10	10 (100.0)	2 (20.0)	11	10 (90.9)	5 (45.5)
The SFP recommendations have had a positive effect on me and/or my work (Individual appraisal)	23	23 (100.0)	19 (82.6)	11	9 (81.8)	5 (45.5)	10	10 (100.0)	3 (30.0)	11	6 (54.5)	3 (27.3)
Feedback about how the school implements/deliver s the School Food Plan recommendations can be used to improve implementation/del ivery in the future	23	23 (100.0)	21 (91.3)	10	9 (90.0)	7 (70.0)	10	10 (100.0)	4 (40.0)	11	11 (100.0)	9 (81.8)

(Reconfiguration)						