

### Supplementary material 15: Details of dropouts

Study ID	Group	Number of dropouts during intervention	Reason provided	Number lost during follow-up period	Reason provided
An 2019 <sup>1</sup>	1. Game-based vertical posture training	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
	2. Standard vertical posture training	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
An 2020 <sup>2</sup>	1. Whole-body tilting postural training	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
	2. General postural training	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
Bergmann 2018 <sup>3</sup>	1. Robot-assisted gait training	6	No pusher behaviour at baseline visit (3), second stroke (2), isolation due to infection (1). 3 did not complete the intervention due to lower extremity pain.	1	transfer to another hospital
	2. Physiotherapy	2	Pusher behaviour at baseline visit (1), severe infection (1)	0	-
Carey 2011 <sup>4</sup>	1. Sensory discrimination training	0	n/a	n/a - post cross-over	-
	2. Exposure to tactile stimuli	0	n/a	n/a - post cross-over	-

Chen 2012 <sup>5</sup>	1. Image drawing - global processing training	0	n/a	1	"schedule in compliance"
	2. Image drawing - rote repetition training	0	n/a	1	"lost contact"
Cho 2015 <sup>6</sup>	1. Neurofeedback training	not reported	not reported	no follow-up period	-
	2. No intervention	not reported	not reported	no follow-up period	-
Choi 2018 <sup>7</sup>	1. WiiFit virtual reality training	0	n/a	1	voluntarily stopped training
	2. Control - general balance training	0	n/a	2	discharged
De Bruyn 2020 <sup>8</sup>	1. Sensorimotor therapy	1	Acute hospitalization	2	medically unstable
	2. Motor exercises	1	Stopped rehabilitation against medical advice	0	-
Edmans 2000 <sup>9</sup>	1. Transfer of training perceptual treatment	0	none	no follow-up period	-
	2. Functional perceptual treatment	1	participant died	no follow-up period	-
Kang 2009 <sup>10</sup>	1. Computerized visual perception rehabilitation with motion	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-

	tracking				
	2. Computer-based cognitive rehabilitation program	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
Kim 2015 <sup>11</sup>	1. Pressure sense perception training on stable surface	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
	2. Pressure sense perception training on unstable surface	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
	3. No treatment	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
Koo 2018 <sup>12</sup>	1. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
	2. Sham Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
Lee 2021 <sup>13</sup>	1. Robot-assisted therapy	1	Medical reason	1	moved house
	2. Conventional therapy	0	n/a	0	-
Lincoln 1985 <sup>14</sup>	1. Perceptual training	0	none reported	no follow-up period	-
	2. Conventional Therapy	0	none reported	no follow-up period	-
Park 2015 <sup>15</sup>	1. Computer-based cognitive rehabilitation training	0	none reported	no follow-up period	-

	2. Conventional cognitive rehabilitation	0	none reported	no follow-up period	-
Seim 2021 <sup>16</sup>	1. Vibrotactile Stimulation Glove	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
	2. Sham Vibrotactile Stimulation Glove	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
Yang 2015 <sup>17</sup>	1. Computer generated visual feedback training	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
	2. Mirror visual feedback training	0	n/a	no follow-up period	-
Yun 2018 <sup>18</sup>	1. Robot - assisted gait training	1	Recurrence of stroke	0	-
	2. Conventional physical therapy	1	Aggravation of pneumonia	0	-

## References

1. An C-m, Roh J-s, Kim T-h, Choi H-s, Choi K-h, Kim G-m. Effects of Game-based Postural Vertical Training on Pusher Behavior, Postural Control, and Activity of Daily Living in Patients With Acute Stroke: A Pilot Study. *Physical Therapy Korea* 2019;**26**:57-66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.12674/ptk.2019.26.3.057>
2. An CM, Ko MH, Kim DH, Kim GW. Effect of postural training using a whole-body tilt apparatus in subacute stroke patients with lateropulsion: A single-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2021;**64**:101393. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2020.05.001>
3. Bergmann J, Krewer C, Jahn K, Muller F. Robot-assisted gait training to reduce pusher behavior: A randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2018;**91**:e1319-e27. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000006276>
4. Carey L, Macdonell R, Matyas TA. SENSE: Study of the Effectiveness of Neurorehabilitation on Sensation: a randomized controlled trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2011;**25**:304-13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1545968310397705>
5. Chen P, Hartman AJ, Priscilla Galarza C, DeLuca J. Global processing training to improve visuospatial memory deficits after right-brain stroke. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2012;**27**:891-905. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acs089>
6. Cho HY, Kim K, Lee B, Jung J. The effect of neurofeedback on a brain wave and visual perception in stroke: a randomized control trial. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2015;**27**:673-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1589/jpts.27.673>
7. Choi D, Choi W, Lee S. Influence of Nintendo Wii Fit Balance Game on Visual Perception, Postural Balance, and Walking in Stroke Survivors: A Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial. *Games for Health Journal* 2018;**7**:377-84. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2017.0126>
8. De Bruyn N, Saenen L, Thijs L, Van Gils A, Ceulemans E, Essers B, *et al.* Sensorimotor vs. Motor Upper Limb Therapy for Patients With Motor and Somatosensory Deficits: A Randomized Controlled Trial in the Early Rehabilitation Phase After Stroke. *Front Neurol* 2020;**11**:597666. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.597666>
9. Edmans JA, Webster J, Lincoln NB. A comparison of two approaches in the treatment of perceptual problems after stroke. *Clin Rehabil* 2000;**14**:230-43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1191/026921500673333145>
10. Si Hyun K, Kim DK, Kyung Mook S, Kwang Nam C, Jin Yong Y, Sang Yoon S, *et al.* A computerized visual perception rehabilitation programme with interactive computer interface using motion tracking technology -- a randomized controlled, single-blinded, pilot clinical trial study. *Clin Rehabil* 2009;**23**:434-44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215508101732>
11. Kim B-s, Bang D-h, Shin W-s. Effects of Pressure Sense Perception Training on Unstable Surface on Somatosensory, Balance and Gait Function in Patients with Stroke. *Journal of the Korean Society of Physical Medicine* 2015;**10**:19-27. <http://dx.doi.org/10.13066/kspm.2015.10.3.19>
12. Koo WR, Jang BH, Kim CR. Effects of Anodal Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation on Somatosensory Recovery After Stroke: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2018;**97**:507-13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000000910>
13. Lee HC, Kuo FL, Lin YN, Liou TH, Lin JC, Huang SW. Effects of Robot-Assisted Rehabilitation on Hand Function of People With Stroke: A Randomized, Crossover-

Controlled, Assessor-Blinded Study. *Am J Occup Ther* 2021;**75**:7501205020p1-p11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2021.038232>

14. Lincoln NB, Whiting SE, Cockburn J, Bhavnani G. An evaluation of perceptual retraining. *Int Rehabil Med* 1985;**7**:99-101. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/03790798509166132>

15. Park JH, Park JH. The effects of a Korean computer-based cognitive rehabilitation program on cognitive function and visual perception ability of patients with acute stroke. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2015;**27**:2577-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1589/jpts.27.2577>

16. Seim CE, Wolf SL, Starner TE. Wearable vibrotactile stimulation for upper extremity rehabilitation in chronic stroke: clinical feasibility trial using the VTS Glove. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2021;**18**:14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12984-021-00813-7>

17. Yang YR, Chen YH, Chang HC, Chan RC, Wei SH, Wang RY. Effects of interactive visual feedback training on post-stroke pusher syndrome: a pilot randomized controlled study. *Clin Rehabil* 2015;**29**:987-93. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215514564898>

18. Yun N, Joo MC, Kim SC, Kim MS. Robot-assisted gait training effectively improved lateropulsion in subacute stroke patients: a single-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2018;**54**:827-36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.23736/S1973-9087.18.05077-3>