## **Supplementary Material 18: Characteristics of excluded studies**

Study	Reason for exclusion
Afzal 2020 <sup>1</sup>	The intervention is for balance disorder, not perceptual
Cho 2013 <sup>2</sup>	Participants do not have a perceptual disorder
Derakhshanfar 2020 <sup>3</sup>	Intervention was for balance, not perceptual disorder
Dirette 1999 <sup>4</sup>	Email communication with the author identified that (i) They did not all have diagnoses of visual perceptual disorders. The diagnosing was done collaboratively between the neuropsychologist and the occupational therapist following an intensive assessment process. (ii) Data is not available specific to those with stroke. All of the participants had ABIs that were related to traumatic injuries or brain tumors.  NB this study was included in the prior version of this review
Fujimoto <sup>5</sup>	Participants were not randomized
Hajek 1993 <sup>6</sup>	Participants do not have a confirmed diagnosis of a perceptual problem, as identified by the inclusion criteria  NB this study was included in the prior version of this review
Hsu 2021 <sup>7</sup>	The inclusion criteria state no major cognitive or perceptual deficits; the intervention is addressing motor function rather than perception
Kim 2011 <sup>8</sup>	Email communication with the authors identified that having a perceptual disorder was not an inclusion criterion for participants
Krewer 2013 9	Not an RCT, but an n-of-1 study with multiple treatment phases and assessments; the order of interventions is randomised, not the participants
Lee 2015 10	There are no measures of perceptual function to determine if participants

Study	Reason for exclusion
	had a perceptual deficit prior to intervention
Maier 2020 11	There is no confirmed diagnosis of a perceptual disorder in the population
Mazer 2003 <sup>12</sup>	According to the inclusion criteria, participants did not need to have a perceptual disorder to take part in the study  NB. this study was included in the prior version of this review
Moon 2020 <sup>13</sup>	The population did not have a perceptual disorder (was considered sensory by clinical expert)
Strelnikova 2020 <sup>14</sup>	The population and intervention is for cognition; there is no statement of randomisation
Taylor 1971 <sup>15</sup>	According to the inclusion criteria, participants did not need to have a perceptual disorder to take part in the study.  NB. this study was included in the prior version of this review
Tsai 2020 <sup>16</sup>	The intervention is for cognitive dysfunction
Wang 2016 17	Communication confirmed the study did not meet the randomization criteria
Wang 2020 18	Patients were excluded if they had any visual problems
Wu 2020 19	The intervention is focused on motor dysfunction

## References

- 1. NCT04557839. Balance Training on Vestibular Function and Proprioception Feedback in Stroke Patient. 2020. URL: <a href="https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04557839">https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04557839</a> (Accessed 27 March 2022).
- 2. Cho HY, Kim JS, Lee GC. Effects of motor imagery training on balance and gait abilities in post-stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2013;**27**:675-80. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215512464702">http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215512464702</a>
- 3. Derakhshanfar M, Raji P, Bagheri H, Jalili M, Tarhsaz H. Sensory interventions on motor function, activities of daily living, and spasticity of the upper limb in people with stroke: A randomized clinical trial. *J Hand Ther* 2021;**34**:515-20. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jht.2020.03.028">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jht.2020.03.028</a>
- 4. Dirette DK, Hinojosa J, Carnevale GJ. Comparison of remedial and compensatory interventions for adults with acquired brain injuries. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 1999;**14**:595-601. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00001199-199912000-00008
- 5. Fujimoto S, Kon N, Otaka Y, Yamaguchi T, Nakayama T, Kondo K, *et al.* Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Over the Primary and Secondary Somatosensory Cortices Transiently Improves Tactile Spatial Discrimination in Stroke Patients. *Front Neurosci* 2016;**10**:128. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2016.00128">http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2016.00128</a>
- 6. Hajek VE, Kates MH, Donnelly R, McGree S. The effect of visuo-spatial training in patients with right hemisphere stroke. *Canadian Journal of Rehabilitation* 1993;**6**:175-86.
- 7. Hsu HY, Kuan TS, Tsai CL, Wu PT, Kuo YL, Su FC, *et al.* Effect of a Novel Perturbation-Based Pinch Task Training on Sensorimotor Performance of Upper Extremity for Patients With Chronic Stroke: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2021;**102**:811-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2020.11.004
- 8. Kim EJ, Lee KE, Lee KL, Kim H, G., Yoon Y-H, Jeon SY, *et al.* Change of Visual Perception in Geriatric Strokes after Visuomotor Coordination Training. *J Korean Acad Rehab Med* 2011;**35**:174-9.
- 9. Krewer C, Riess K, Bergmann J, Muller F, Jahn K, Koenig E. Immediate effectiveness of single-session therapeutic interventions in pusher behaviour. *Gait Posture* 2013;37:246-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.07.014
- 10. Lee H, Kim H, Ahn M, You Y. Effects of proprioception training with exercise imagery on balance ability of stroke patients. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2015;**27**:1-4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1589/jpts.27.1
- 11. Maier M, Ballester BR, Leiva Banuelos N, Duarte Oller E, Verschure P. Adaptive conjunctive cognitive training (ACCT) in virtual reality for chronic stroke patients: a randomized controlled pilot trial. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2020;**17**:42. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12984-020-0652-3">http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12984-020-0652-3</a>
- 12. Mazer BL, Sofer S, Korner-Bitensky N, Gelinas I, Hanley J, Wood-Dauphinee S. Effectiveness of a visual attention retraining program on the driving performance of clients with stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2003;**84**:541-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/apmr.2003.50085
- 13. Moon J-H, Jung J-H, Cho H-W. Effects of cognitive exercise therapy on tactile sensations of the hands and activities of daily living in stroke patients. *Medico-Legal Update* 2020;**20**:1976-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.37506/v20/i1/2020/mlu/194594
- 14. Strelnikova IA, Svetkina AA, Androfagina OV. [The efficacy and safety of Mexidol Forte 250 as part of long-term sequential therapy in patients with carotid stroke]. *Zh Nevrol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova* 2020;**120**:54-8. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.17116/jnevro202012003254">http://dx.doi.org/10.17116/jnevro202012003254</a>
- 15. Taylor MM, Schaeffer JN, Blumenthal FS, Grisell JL. Perceptual training in patients with left hemiplegia. *Archives of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation* 1971;**52**:163-9.

- 16. Tsai PY, Lin WS, Tsai KT, Kuo CY, Lin PH. High-frequency versus theta burst transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of poststroke cognitive impairment in humans. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2020;**45**:262-70. http://dx.doi.org/10.1503/jpn.190060
- 17. Wang D, Lin J, Liu X. Effects of visual feedback and core stability training program on post-stroke Pusher syndrome: a pilot randomized controlled study. *Chinese J Rehab Med* 2016;**31**:426–9.
- 18. NCT01545138. Combining Cognitive and Treadmill Training on Cognition and Walking Performance in Stroke With Cognitive Impairment. 2020. URL: <a href="https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01545138">https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01545138</a> (Accessed 27 March 2022).
- 19. NCT04326205. Neural Mechanisms and Efficacy of Dual Neurotechnology-aided Mirror Therapy in Chronic Stroke: Neural and Motor Plasticity, Movement Performance, Daily Function, and Quality of Life. 2020. URL:

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04326205 (Accessed 27 March 2022).