Round Two

Thank you for taking part in the first round of this Delphi exercise. This is the second and final round. Please read these instructions carefully:

We are interested in your views on the most important/highest priority outcome domains. The aim of this Delphi is to develop a consensus on which sub-set of indicators should be included in a future longitudinal study of such services, and could potentially be utilised as common outcome indicators across such services.

- There are two parts to this questionnaire. Firstly, please review and rate the importance of each sub-domain using the scale provided. There are 31 items to rate.

- Secondly, please select up to five sub-domains which you perceive to be the most important/highest priority.

- You will see two columns next to each subdomain in both sections. The middle column shows the group response and the right-hand column is blank and is provided for you to reconsider your original response in the context of the group response from the previous round.

- Your ratings from Round One are supplied as a PDF attachment to email invitation. You do not have to change your answer if you do not wish to do so.

- Please complete both sections. It should take no longer than 10 minutes.

Section Two: Rating of Outcome Domains

Please rate how **important** the following outcome domains are for evaluation of forensic intellectual and developmental disability (FIDD) services.

- Please provide a new rating in the right-hand column Ratings are made from 1 (not important) to 5 (extremely important).
- Your original ratings are attached to your email invitation (Sections 3, 4 and 5).
- The average group score from Round One is presented in the middle column.
- You do not have to change your response from your original answer if you do not wish to.

1 Not at all important	2 Slightly Important	3 Neither Important or Unimportant	4 Moderately Important	5 Extremely Important
• Not an important or appropriate outcome domain for FIDD services. Not a priority	• A slightly important and appropriate outcome domain for FIDD services. A low priority	Neutral as to whether it is an important or appropriate outcome domain for FIDD services.	• An important and appropriate outcome domain for FIDD services. A priority	• Highly important and appropriate outcome domain for FIDD services. A high priority

Effectiveness	Average Rating	Your Rating
Length of hospital stay	3.65	
Delayed discharge/current placement appropriateness	4.24	
Discharge outcome/direction of care pathway (i.e. the patient moves to a lower level of therapeutic security/non hospital setting)	4.53	
Re-admission (i.e. the patient is readmitted within a specified time period)	4.00	
Treatment response/engagement	4.65	
Clinical symptom severity /treatment needs – patient rated	4.24	
Clinical symptom severity/treatment needs – clinician rated	4.41	
Adaptive functioning	3.82	
Incidents (violence/self-harm)	4.29	
Re-offending (charges/reconvictions)	4.59	
'Offending-like' behaviour (i.e. behaviour which did not result in charges)	4.47	
Security need (i.e. physical/procedural/escort/leave)	3.94	
Risk assessment measures	4.35	
Recovery measures/progress on treatment goals – patient rated	4.53	
Recovery measures/progress on treatment goals – clinician rated	4.47	
Patient Safety		
Restrictive practices (i.e. seclusion/segregation)	4.29	
Restrictive practices (i.e. restraint)	4.29	
Premature death and suicide	4.59	
Victimisation/safeguarding	4.53	
Medication (i.e. PRN/ exceeding BNF prescribing limits)	4.24	
Physical health	4.12	
Patient/Carer Experience		
Quality of Life - patient rated	4.59	
Quality of Life - clinician rated	3.94	
Patient experience: satisfaction/complaints	4.29	

Patient experience: involvement	4.41
Carer experience: communication	4.18
Carer experience: involvement	4.06
Therapeutic Milieu	4.41
Closeness to 'home' area	3.59
Involvement in community (where appropriate)	4.35
Access to work/meaningful activity (where appropriate)	4.65

Section Two: Top Five Outcome Domains

In Round One, you selected up to 5 outcome domains which were the most important/highest priority for the evaluation of forensic intellectual disability services.
In the right-hand column, use the checkbox provided to select up to 5 sub-domains which are, in your opinion, the most important and of the highest priority.
The items you originally endorsed as being the most important ('top 5') are attached (question 6).
The percentage of respondents who endorsed each sub-domain as one of their top five most important outcomes is presented in the middle column.
You do not have to change your response from your original answer if you do not wish to.

Effectiveness	%	
Length of hospital stay	24	☐
Delayed discharge/current placement appropriateness	18	☐
Discharge outcome/direction of care pathway	41	☒
Re-admission (i.e. the patient is readmitted within a specified time period)	18	☐
Treatment response/engagement	47	☒
Clinical symptom severity/treatment needs	70	☒
Adaptive functioning	24	☐
Incidents (violence/self-harm)	18	☐
Re-offending (charges/reconvictions)/ 'Offending-like' behaviour	59	☒
Security need (i.e. physical/procedural/escort/leave)	12	☒
Risk assessment measures	41	☐
Recovery measures/progress on treatment goals	41	☐
Patient Safety		
Restrictive practices (i.e. seclusion/segregation/restraint)	12	☐
Premature death and suicide	12	☐
Victimisation/safeguarding	1	☐
Medication (i.e. PRN/ exceeding BNF prescribing limits)	12	☐
Physical health	1	☐
Patient Safety in general	12	☐

Patient/Carer Experience		
Quality of Life	12	☐
Patient experience	24	☐
Carer experience	0	☐
Therapeutic Milieu	6	☐
Closeness to 'home' area	0	☐
Involvement in community (where appropriate)	0	☐
Access to work/meaningful activity (where appropriate)	24	☐

Please use this space to provide any other comments.