### **OPTIMA Qualitative Sub-Study**

# Interview Schedule: Research Staff (Principal Investigators, Team Managers, Trial Coordinators)

#### Version 1.0, 08 March 2012

#### 1. Background

- Was there an invitation for bids or application for funding? Who designed the protocol?
- Why and how you became involved in the trial?
- Why is the trial needed?
- Is there a published systematic review?
- What is your role and do any others have a similar role?
- Date when recruiting started?
- What has it been like so far?

#### 2. Recruiting Recruiters

- How were the recruiters recruited?
- Had the recruiters ever recruited before?
- How did the recruiters start to recruit (i.e. did they receive any training)?

#### 3. Protocol v reality

- In the beginning (i.e. according to the protocol) how did you think patients would be identified and recruited?
- Has it worked out that way? If not, why not? (i.e. recruitment difficulties, is everyone supportive, organisational issues)?

### 4. Do you recruit, introduce the trial or identify eligible patients?

## IF YES:

**5.** How do you **introduce the trial** (what do you say, how detailed is it)? (eg. to doctors, patients, recruiters)

#### 6. Randomisation

How do you explain to patients how the decision is made in the trial about which treatment they will receive?

How easy is the concept for patients to understand?

**Note:** If this seems threatening, we can acknowledge that this is a difficult and confusing concept for everybody

## 7. Uncertainty

- How do patients feel about/react to the idea of being in a trial when the doctor doesn't know which treatment is best?
- How do you feel about this?

### 8. Preferences

- What reasons have patients given for not taking part?
- What are the main difficulties patients have with the trial (difficulties with a particular arm)?
- What do you do when a patient gives a reason for not wanting to take part?

#### Probes:

- If you do explore patient views, how easy/difficult do you find this?
- How successful?
- Specific examples of a 'bad' vs. 'good' recruitment experience

# 9. Eligibility

- Are the criteria easy to apply?
- Do you ever use criteria that are not really clinical?
- Do you ever feel that a patient should get one treatment rather than another?

# Probes:

- Why?
- What do you do?

## 10. Conflict between roles

- How does your job as researcher compare with your normal clinical practice?
- Is there any conflict between roles?

## FOR ALL

# 11. Improving recruitment

- What might improve recruitment, including the appointments?

**Probe:** Any training needs?

# 12. Equipoise

- Do you have a hunch about the outcome of the trial?
- If you were a patient would you have a treatment preference?
- Would you agree to be randomised?
- Are the recruiters in equipoise?

# 13. View of trial

Have your views changed about the trial since your involvement?