

BREATHER (PENTA 16)



BREaks in Adolescent and Child THerapy using Efavirenz and two nRtis

Phase II randomised trial to determine whether young people can maintain virological suppression during Short Cycle Therapy (SCT) (5 days on/2 off)

March 2011 – July 2014

Karina Butler on behalf of PENTA (Paediatric European Network for the Treatment of AIDS)





Disclosures

Karina Butler has no financial disclosures



Background & Rationale

- Aims of HIV treatment:
 - Maximise the benefit of ARVs/Minimise long-term toxicity
 - Maintain long-term adherence to prevent resistance and preserve future treatment options
- Challenges facing young people
 - Lifelong therapy
 - Adherence, including the social dimension (eg medication stigma, sleepovers, socialising at weekends, pill fatigue)
- > Need for new treatment strategies

One Possible Option:

Short Cycle Therapy (SCT): 5 days on treatment / 2 days off

-Simplification with less toxicity

- -Better adherence
- -Cost savings



BREATHER: Global, Phase II, Randomised, Multi-center, Non-inferiority Trial

Hypothesis: SCT is as efficacious as CT on EFV based 1st line therapy.

Population:Aged 8 to 24 yrs, stable VL <50c/ml on EFV+2NRTI</th>•No previous virologic failure on a HAART regimen•CD4 count ≥350 cells/µL at screening visit

Primary Outcome: Time to VL failure (HIV-1 RNA ≥50 c/ml, confirmed) over 48 wks

Pre-defined non inferiority margin: 12% for the difference in failure rate between SCT and CT by week 48 (Kaplan-Meier), adjusted for age & geographic region

Secondary outcomes:


٠

- HIV < 50c/ml at 24 & 48 wks
 - Change in CD4 at 24 & 48 wks
- New B, C events or death

- Major HIV mutations
- Toxicities
- Change in ART
- Adherence & acceptability over 48 wks



Enrolment March 2011 – June 2013



Study visits - week 4, 12, 24, 36, 48 and every 12 weeks to trial end



Baseline Characteristics


N:199:53% Male. Med age 14.1 (IQR: 11.9 – 17.6) 90% Vertically acquired. 56% Black, 21% White, 19% Asian

	SCT	СТ	Total	
Young people randomised: n	99	100	199	
≥8 years to <13 years: n (%)	38 (38)	39 (39)	77 (39)	
≥13 years to <18 years: n (%)	39 (39)	41 (41)	80 (40)	
≥18 years to <24 years: n (%)	22 (22)	20 (20)	42 (21)	
CDC Stage: n (%)				
N/A	41 (41)	35 (35)	76 (38)	
В	45 (45)	43 (43)	88 (44)	
С	13 13)	21(21)	34 (17)	
CD4 %: median (IQR)	34.5 (29, 39)	34.0 (30, 38)	34.0 (30, 39)	
CD4 absolute (cells/mm ³): median (IQR)	722.5 (581.0,	747.3 (575.3, 972.8)	735.0 (575.5, 967.5)	
Follow up: median 85.7 weeks, with >98% clinic visits attended up to week 48. One lost to follow up by week 48 (relocated)				



Adherence to Strategy

Three independent indicators confirmed adherence to strategy




MCV levels (among patients on zidovudine):

MCV significantly lower in SCT vs CT at every visit week suggesting less exposure to ZDV compared to those in the CT arm.



Primary Endpoint: VL ≥50c/ml (confirmed)



Upper bound of difference between survival curves= 4.9%, which lies well inside the non-inferiority margin of 12%

Results are consistent with noninferiority of SCT compared to CT



Secondary Endpoints: Resistance

HIV-1 MAJOR RESISTANCE MUTATIONS	SCT n/N	CT n/N
Sequence available/Virologic Failure	3/6	6/7
Resistance: Any Class NNRTI NNRTI & NRTI (M184)	2/3 1/3 1/3	5/6 4/6 1/6

All 4 YP who failed without sequence data **re-suppressed** after reaching the primary endpoint, indicating resistance unlikely



Secondary Endpoints & Biomarker Substudy

- No significant differences in CD4 or CD8 count or percent
- Overall no difference in lipid profiles
 - Transient increase in LDL cholesterol in SCT at 24 weeks only
- Platelet counts lower in SCT arm at each follow up visit (p<0.05)
- **Biomarker substudy:** There were no differences between arms in 19 biomarkers of inflammation
 - Only borderline difference in D-Dimers in favour SCT (p=0.048)



Changes in ARV Regimen and in Strategy

Regimen Change: 4 changes in SCT arm, compared to 11 in CT arm (p=0.105)

						,
		SCT		СТ		Total
Number still on initial regimen at 48 week assessment: n (%)	95	(96.0)	88	(88.9)	183	(92.0)
Change in ART regimen	4	(4.0)	11	(11.1)	13	(6.6)
Unknown – Lost to follow up	0		1		1	
Reasons for ART regimen change:						
Toxicity	2		6		8	
Simplification	1		3		4	
Compliance	1		1		2	
VL failure	0		1		1	
		_				

8 Changed Strategy (5 \rightarrow 7 day ART a week):

- 6 due to reaching the primary endpoint
- 1 due to an AE leading to discontinuation in EFV (gynaecomastia)
- 1, although remaining suppressed, changed from SCT to CT because poor adherence was noted



Safety

No deaths or CDC stage C events

	SCT CT		Total				
	episodes	(YP)	episodes	(YP)	episodes	(YP)	p value*
Grade 3 and 4 AEs	14	(8)	17	(12)	31	(20)	0.480
ART related AEs	2	(2)	16	(8)	18	(10)	0.101
Treatment modifying AEs	1	(1)	1	(1)	2	(2)	1.000
SAEs	7	(6)	6	(3)	13	(9)	0.331

*Fisher's exact test

- No significant differences in terms of number of young people with adverse events
- Some evidence of more ART related AEs in the CT arm than the SCT arm
- The only SAE reported more than once was spontaneous abortion (1 SCT, 1CT)
- Additionally, there were 5 pregnancies (1 SCT, 4 CT)



Acceptability (answered only by SCT arm)

Comparison of things people found difficult before vs. during the study.

J		J	
	Baseline	End of study	p value
Remembering to take meds	21	16	0.424
Timing of meds	18	14	0.503
Number of tablets	8	5	0.549
Size of tablets	9	5	0.388
Difficulty swallowing	9	3	0.146
Amount of syrup	1	1	1.000
Taste of meds	12	7	0.227
Side effects	10	5	0.302
Different routine (weekends)	8	2	0.109
Different routine (week days)	4	2	0.688
School/college days	5	1	0.125
School/college holidays	6	4	0.754
Staying with friends/family	12	17	0.332
Going out with friends	15	2	0.001
Other	11	5	0.210

74% young people said SCT made things a lot easier and 16% said a little easier (63% and 16% at baseline)



What did Young People Say? Breather Social Science SubStudy

40 young people were interviewed about what it was like being in the trial. You said:

To begin with starting and stopping was confusing and made you worry. But once you got used to it and found a routine, you liked it and it was better than always taking medicine.

Some of you said:

Sometimes you forgot to take your medicine when you were supposed to, but you did not always tell your doctor or nurse. This happened before and during the trial, but being in the trial helped some of

you to remember.

It made your social life better as you could stay over at friend's houses and you didn't worry about having to take medicine. You worry that other HIV positive young people might try it when they don't take Efavirenz and then get ill.

You sometimes felt side effects from Efavirenz (feeling dizzy, not being able to concentrate or not feeling yourself) and you did not always tell your doctor or nurse about this. Those of you who had the weekend off taking your medicine, felt better on those two days.

Bernays S, et al personal communication

- Liked strategy
- Social life better
- Side effects less at week ends
 - these often not previously disclosed
- Time to adjust
- May not be suitable for all



BREATHER: Conclusions

- 94% of all YP remained virally suppressed, <50c/ml, to 48 weeks (97% <400c/ml)
- Over 48 weeks for SCT vs CT
 - 1% difference in viral suppression in favour of SCT
 - Primary and alternate analyses consistent
- No evidence of major difference in toxicity parameters
 - Except more reported ART related toxicity in CT
- No difference in clinical, immunologic or virological parameters, or in inflammatory biomarkers or major resistance mutations
- 27% reduction in drug exposure
- Acceptability questionnaires favourable towards SCT
- Long term follow up for 2 additional years has commenced

Non-inferiority of VL suppression in young people on EFV-based first line ART was demonstrated for SCT vs CT



Acknowledgements

This trial was sponsored by the Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS (PENTA) Foundation.

Funding for this study was provided by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under EuroCoord grant agreement n° 260694 with support for trial management and clinical sites in the UK and Ireland from the National Institute for Health Research's HTA Programme

We thank all of the young people, their families, and staff from the centres participating in the BREATHER trial

PENTA Steering Committee:

J-P Aboulker, J Ananworanich, A Babiker, E Belfrage, S Bernardi , R Bologna, D Burger, K Butler, G Castelli-Gattinara , P Clayden, A Compagnucci, T Cressey, JH Darbyshire, M Debré, R de Groot, M Della Negra, A De Rossi, A Di Biagio, D Duiculescu (deceased), A Faye, V Giacomet, C Giaquinto (chairperson), DM Gibb, I Grosch-Wörner, M Hainault, L Harper, N Klein, M Lallemant, J Levy, H Lyall, M Mardarescu, L Marques, MJ Mellado Peña, M Marczynska, D Nadal, E Nastouli, L Naver, T Niehues, C Peckham, D Pillay, J Popieska , JT Ramos Amador, P Rojo Conejo, L Rosado, V Rosenfeldt (deceased), R Rosso (deceased), C Rudin, Y Saidi, M Sharland, HJ Scherpbier, C Thorne, PA Tovo, G Tudor-Williams, A Turkova, N Valerius, A Volkha, AS Walker, S Welch, U Wintergerst

BREATHER Steering Committee

J.T Ramos Amador, J. Ananworanich, A. Babiker, K. Butler, P. Clayden, J. Darbyshire, V. Leroy, V. Musiime, I. Weller (chair)

BREATHER Trial Management Group

J Ananworanich, A Babiker, S Bernays, T Bunupuradah, K Butler (chair), J Calvert, S Chalermpantmetagul, R Choudhury, A Compagnucci, T Cressey, C Giaquinto, D Gibb, L Harper, J Inshaw, J Kenny, H Kizito, N Klein, E Menson, S Montero, V Muslime, A Nanduudu, E Nastouli, M Ndiaye, JT Ramos Amador, T Rhodes, Y Riault, Y Saidi, J Seeley, K Scott, A Turkova

Trials Units: INSERM SC10-US019, France: JP Aboulker, A.Arulananthan, A Compagnucci, S Léonardo, L.Meyer, M.Ndiaye, Y Riault, Y Saïdi

MRC Clinical Trials Unit, UK: A Babiker, J Calvert, R Choudhury, DM Gibb, L Harper, J Inshaw, D Johnson, J Kenny, S Martins, S Montero, C O'Leary, K Scott, J Thompson, A Turkova

PHPT, Thalland: TR Cressey, S Chalermpantmetagul, R Peongjakta, K Than-in-at, S Chailert, K Seubmongkolchai, S Thammajitsagul, W Sripaoraya (L), C Kasemrat (L), A Upra (P), G Jourdain, M Lallemant, N Ngo-Giang-Huong, S Le Coeur Argentina: Hospital Dr.J.P.Garrahan / Fundación Helios Salud, Buenos Aires: R.Bologna, J.Da Bouza, D.Mecikovsky, G.Sotera, A.Mangano (L), M.Moragas (L) Belgium: M.Hainaut, E.Van der kelen, S.Vandenwijngaert (L) Denmark: V.Rosenfeldt, N.Valerius, LJensen (L) Germany: J W Goethe University Frankfurt: C Koenigs, S Schultze-Strasser, R Linde, K Mantzsch Ireland: Our Lady's Children's Hospital, Dublin: K Butler, P Gavin, R Leahy, A Rochford, M Goode, A Walsh, E Hyland (L), M O'Connor (P) Spain: I.Garcia Mellado, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid: P.Roje Conejo, M.I Gonzalez Tomé, Hospital Sant Joan De Déu, Barcelona: C.Fortuny Guasch, A.Noguera Julian, P.Santin Riba, A.Murciano Cabeza (P), Hospital La Pe, Valencia: M.D. Perez Tamarit, M.C.Otero Reigada, F.Castera Brugada, I.Segarra Granell, , R.Amigo Moreno (L), Hospital La Paz, Madrid: M.J.Mellado Peña, M.Garcia Lopez Hortelano, M.J De José Gomez, L.Escosa Universitario de Getafe;S.Guillen Martin, LM.Prieto Tato Hospital Clinico San Carlos, Madrid: J.T.Ramos Amador Biobanco Gregorio Marañon, Madrid: M.A.Muñoz Fernandez (L), J.L Jimenez Fuentes (L), C.Gómez Rico (L), A.Garcia Torre (L) Thalland The HIV Netherlands Kustralia Thalian Research Collaboration (HIV-NAT): T Bunupuradah, J. Ananworanich, T. Chuanjarone ka, N Thammajaruk (L); PHPT: Kalain Hospital: S Stripiana, D Dornnger, N Kunchanarong (P), L Mongkun (L), Regional Health Promotion Center Region 6, Khon Kaen: S Hanpinitsak, K Aue-apisak, P Kangsavon (P), M Shevasateanchai (L). UK: Great Ormond Street Hospital, London: N Klein, J Kenny, A Turkova, D Shingadia, J Flynn, M Clapson, K Parkes, E Howley, L Spencer-Walsh; Evelina Children's Hospital. S Contert, Kinsma, E Reus, A Callaghan, S Tomlin (P) E Jones (P), Institute of Child Health, London: H Pouslom (L), N Klein (L), L Carter

L= Laboratory, P = Pharmacy, S = Social Scientist



Thank You



